				Th	nemes			1			
Ref	Noise general	Emissions/pollution	Noise/Altitude	Volume of flights	Flight Stacking	Night flights	Current narrow corridor/Heathrow flights	DPs referred	Noise mitigation	Change to DP?	Notes
Manual Response STK1	х	x	х	х	х	х		6	A, B, C, D, E, F	In support of DPs refd	
		x		x						Suggests no capacity increase until CO2 emissions solved. Believes these	
Manual Response STK2		^		^				4, 5		contradict	
Manual Response STK3	х						х	6	C, D, E	No respite currently	
Manual Response STK4	х	х	х	х			х	1, 6, 7	D, E	In support of DPs refd	
Manual Response STK5								1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	A, D, E	Not in support of DP 3, 4, 5, 8 or B, C, F, G	
	x	x	x	x			x				Imperative residents are not overflown by aircraft from more
Manual Response STK6								1, 6, 7	B, C, D, E	Not in support of B	than one airport.
Manual Response STK7	Х	X		X				6, 7	D, E	In support of DPs refd	
Manual Daspansa CTV9	х	x		x	x		x	6, 7		In support of DPs refd. Emphasises need to safeguard residential amenity	
Manual Response STK8								0, /		Not in support of DP 4. Believes DP 6 + 7	
Manual Response STK9	х	x		x				4, 6, 7		should be Tier 1 principles	
Wandar Response 51R5								4, 0, 7		DP 5 should look to reduce environmental impact by a quantative target, not	
Manual Response STK10	x	x						1, 2, 3, 5, 6	A, C, D, E	just 'minimise.'	
Manual Response STK11	х		х				х	1, 2, 3, 6	A, C, E	In support of DPs refd	
Manual Response STK12	x	x	x	x			· ·	6, 7	1,4,5,2	In support of DPs refd	
,								,			Suggests a new noise mitigation to be developed (H): 'Avoid
	x		x				x				level flight under 4000ft until final decent approach begins 4
Manual Response STK13								3, 6	A, D	Not in support of DP 3. Believes DP 6 should be a Tier 1 principle	miles from landing.'
	.,	,									Limit percentage of older gen aircraft.
Manual Response STK14	X	x					x	1, 2, 3	A, C, D, E	In support of DPs refd.	
Manual Response STK15	х	х	х	х			х	1, 6	C, D, E	In support of DP 1, 6, 7 and C, E. Not in support of B	Template response - same as STK7
Manual Response STK16	х						х		C, D, E	In support of Noise Mitigation refd	
Manual Response STK17	х	х	х	х				7		In support of DPs refd	
Manual Response STK18	х			х	х	х	x	6	C, E	In support of DPs refd	
	x	×								DP 5 should look to reduce environmental impact by a quantative target, not	
Manual Response STK19	^	^						1, 2, 3, 5, 6	A, C, D, E	just 'minimise.'	Template response - same as STK11
Manual Response STK20	х	х	х	х			х	1, 6, 7	B, C, D, E	Not in support of B	Template response - same as STK7
Manual Response STK21	Х	Х	Х	х			х	1, 6, 7	B, C, D, E	Not in support of B	Template response - same as STK7
Manual Response STK22	х	х	х	х			х	1, 6, 7	B, C, D, E	Not in support of B	Template response - same as STK7
Manual Response STK23	Х	Х	Х	x			X	1, 6, 7	B, C, D, E	Not in support of B	Template response - same as STK7
											- Priority below 7000ft should be to reduce noise rather than
											cut emissions
	х	X					X				- Communites should never be overflown with multiple routes
Manual Basnansa STI/24								1 7	A D C E E C	In support of DD 1.7 and A. C. F. Not in support of D. C. F. C.	to more than one airport.
Manual Response STK24 Manual Response STK25	х		×				x	1, 7 3, 6	C, E	In support of DP 1, 7 and A, C, E. Not in support of B, C, F, G In support of Dps refd	- Facilitate steeper descents (and ascents).
Wallual Response 31 R23	^		^				^	3, 0	C, L	In support of Dps feru	Part template response - similar to STK7.
	x	×	×	×			x				States DP 1 & 2 are rhetorical, where reasonable respondents
Manual Response STK26		^		_ ^			^	1, 2, 6, 7	B, C, D, E	In support of DP 1, 2, 6, 7 and C, E. Not in support of B	would always answer yes
Manual Response STK27	х		x				X	6. 7	=, =, =, =	In support of DPs refd	
											Arrival routes should follow the Thames Estuary as far as
Manual Response STK28	х						x	4, 6	A, C, E	Believes DP 4 should be a Tier 2 principle	possible to mitigate noise
Manual Response STK29	х						х	4, 6	A, C, E	Believes DP 4 should be a Tier 2 principle	Template response - same as STK29
·	.,		,,								Continuous descent appraoches and continuous climb
Manual Response STK30	X		X		Х	X	X	6	B, C, D, F	In support of DPs refd	departures to relieve noise impact on residents
											Suggestions are to: - Restore previous flight paths, with a 4km
											wide arrivals corridor.
	×		×	×		×	x				- Implement ops cooperation between LHR & LCY.
	^		_ ^	^		_ ^	^				- Increase vertical corridors for LHR & LCY and implement CCD
										In support of DP 6, E. Not in support of DP 4. Believes DP 6 should a	& CDA flightpaths (LHR 4000ft over Forest Hill, 3000ft for LCY)
										Tier 1 principle with reference to correcting the current 2000ft arrivals from	- Alternate arrival paths for Easterly ops (3 flightpaths at 2km
Manual Response STK31								1, 2, 3, 4, 6	A, D, E	20 miles out.	intervals)
L	x		x				x			Believes DP 5, 6 should be Tier 1 principles, DP 4 a Tier 2 principle.	
Manual Response STK32								1, 5, 6	B, D, E, F	Not in support of B, F	
Manual Response STK33	X		х		х	X	X	6	D, C, D, F	In support of DPs refd	Template response - same as STK31
Manual Response STK34	х		-				х	6	D	In support of DPs refd	
											Tion 4 and add to the comments of
							<u>.</u>				Tier 1 principle suggestion: To ensure airspace modernisation
	х						x				at LCY does not compromise airspace aspirations/
Manual Response STK35								6		In Support of DPs refd	requirements at other airports Also implement CCD & CDA to/from a level of at least 7000ft.
ivialiuai Kespolise STK35	+		1					0		in aupport of desired	- Also implement CCD & CDA to/from a level of at least 7000ft. - Newer gen aircraft to reduce noise contours
Manual Response STK36	x	x		x		x		5, 6, 7	A	Believes DP 6 should be a Tier 1 principle	- Maintain current 24 hour airport closure at weekends
Manual Response STK37	X	x	×	x			x	1, 6, 7		Not in support of B	Template response - same as STK7
manual nesponse 31N3/	_ ^	^	_ ^	^	<u> </u>		^	1, 0, /	J, C, D, E	Inot in support of b	remplace response sallie as STK/