CAA CAP 1616 Options Appraisal Assessment

Title of airspace change proposa	ıl	DVOR Rationalisation Removal of En-Route Procedures from GOW VOR				
Change sponsor		NATS				
Project no.		ACP-2019-26				
Case study commencement date	Clic	ck or tap to enter a date.	Case study report as at	Click or tap to enter a date.		
Account Manager: N/A Tech Regulator:	[Inse	age & Consult: rt Name] ronmental)	IFP: [Insert Name] Economist:	OGC: [Insert Name] ATM:		
3		,	[Insert Name]	[Insert Name]		

Instructions: In providing a response for each question, please ensure that the 'status' column is completed using one of the following options:

yes

• no

partially

• n/a

To aid the SARG project leader's efficient project management, please highlight the "status" cell for each question using one of the three colours to illustrate if it is:

Resolved - GREEN

Not Resolved – AMBER

Not Compliant – RED

Not Applicable - GREY

Guidance

The broad principle of economic impact analysis is **proportionality**; is the level of analysis involved proportionate to the likely impact from that ACP? There are three broad levels of economic analysis; qualitative discussion, quantified through metrics, and monetised in £ terms. The more significant the impact, the greater should be the effort by sponsors to quantify and monetise the impact.

1. B	Status		
1.1	Are the outcomes of DN/DM and DS scenarios clearly outlined in the proposal?		

1.1.1	Has the change sponsor produced an Options Appraisal (Phase I - Initial) which sets out how they have moved from the Statement of Need to the airspace change design options? [E12]	Yes	
1.1.2	Does the list of options include a description of the change proposal	Yes	
1.1.3	Has the sponsor stated on what criteria the longlist of options has been assessed?	Yes	
1.1.4	Where options have been discounted, does the change sponsor clearly set out why?	Yes	
1.1.5	Has the change sponsor indicated their preferred option in the Options Appraisal (Phase I - Initial)? [E8]	Yes	
1.1.6	Does the Initial Options Appraisal (Phase I - Initial) detail what evidence the change sponsor will collect, and how, to fill in any evidence gaps and how this will be used to develop the Options Appraisal (Phase II - Full)? Does the plan for evidence gathering cover all reasonable impacts of the change? [E12]	conducted as a single exercise as this is a Stage 2 &3 multi gateway submission.	

2. Direct impact on air traffic control					Status		
2.1	Are there direct cost impacts on air traffic control / management systems? If so, please provide below details of the factors considered and the level in which this has been analysed.						
2.1.1	Examples of costs considered (please add costs that have been discussed, and any reasonable costs that the tech reg feels have NOT been addressed)	Not applicable	Qualitative Assessment	Quantified	Monetised		
2.1.2	Infrastructure changes				Х		
2.1.3	Deployment	Х					
2.1.4	Day-to-day operational costs / workload / risks	Х					

2.1.5	Other (provide details)	Х							
2.1.6	Comments £65k Infrastructure cost to NATS identified for implementing this change (system adaptation etc). No other direct cost impacts identified.								
2.2	Are there direct beneficial impacts on air traffic control / management	systems?							
	If so, please provide details and how they have been addressed:								
2.2.1	Examples of benefits considered	Not applicable	Qualitative Assessment	Quantified	Monetised				
2.2.2	Reduced work-load	Х							
2.2.3	Reduced complexity / risk	Х							
2.2.4	Other (provide details)	X							
2.2.5	Details								
2.3	Where monetised, what is the net monetised impact on air traffic contr	rol (in net present	value) over the p	project period?					
	£65k one-off direct cost to the sponsor.								
2.4	Are the direct impacts on air traffic management analysed accurately and proportionately?								

3. Changes in air traffic movements / projections						Status
3.1.	3.1. What is the impact of the ACP on the following and has it been addressed in the ACP proposal?					
		Not impacted /	Qualitative	Quant	ified	Monetised
		not applicable	Assessment			
3.1.1	Number of aircraft movements	X				
3.1.2	Type of aircraft movement	X				

3.1.3	Distance travelled	Х						
3.1.4	Area flown over / affected	Х						
3.1.5	Other impacts		Х					
3.1.6	Details Qualitative improvement in safety as all coding houses will be using the same, a independent, FMS overlays.	pproved, designs fo	or these procedures	rather than develo	ping their own,			
3.2	Has the forecasting of traffic done reasonably using best available guida Academic sourcesetc?)	ance (e.g. DfT We	bTAG, the Green	Book,				
3.3	What is the impact of the above changes on the following factors?			,				
		Not impacted /	Qualitative	Quantified	Monetised			
2.2.4	No. Co.	not applicable	Assessment					
3.3.1	Noise	X						
3.3.2	Fuel Burn	Х						
3.3.3	CO2 Emissions	Х						
3.3.4	Operational complexities for users of air space	Х						
3.3.5	Number of air passengers / cargo	Х						
3.3.6	Flight time savings / Delays	Х						
3.3.7	Air Quality	Х						
3.3.8	Tranquillity	Х						
3.4	Are the traffic forecast and the associated impacts analysed proportionately and accurately according to available guidelines (e.g. WebTAG or the Green Book?) (See comments at 6.1 below)							
3.5	What is the total monetised impact of 3.2? (Provide details) N/A			·				

4. Benefits of ACP						Status			
4.1	Does the ACP impact refer to the following groups and how they are impacted by the ACP?								
		Not impacted / Not applicable	Qualitative Assessment	Qua	ntified	Monetised			
4.1.1	Air Passengers	X							
4.1.2	Air Cargo Users	Х							
4.1.3	General aviation users	Х							
4.1.4	Airlines	Х							
4.1.5	Airports	Х							
4.1.6	Local communities	Х							
4.1.7	Wider Public / Economy	Х							
4.1.8	Details: By design, this ACP will have no material impact on anyone. It benefits to at least the Airlines and Wider Public/Economy, in line with a	•		Ps which	will deliv	er economic			
4.2.	How are the above groups impacted by the ACP, especially (but not exc	·	<u> </u>	actors:					
		Not impacted / not applicable	Qualitative Assessment	Qua	ntified	Monetised			
4.2.1	Improved journey time for customers of air travel	X							
4.2.2	Increase choice of frequency and destinations from airport	Х							
4.2.3	Reduced price due to additional competition because of new capacity	Х							
4.2.4	Wider economic benefits	Х							
4.2.5	Other impacts	Х							
4.2.6	Details: As in 4.1, the benefits will be realised by one or more future AC	Ps, not this one.							

What is the overall monetised impacts associated wi	th 4.1 and 4.2 the above?	N/A
What are the non-monetised but quantified impacts	of the above? (Insert details of description)	N/A
What are the qualitative / strategic impacts describ	ed above?	
Long term reduced dependency on ground-based na	avaids and potential release of radio spectrum.	
N/A		
Have the sponsors provided reasonable justification	n for the proportionality of analysis above?	
If the BCR is less than 1, are the quantitative and quACP?	alitative strategic impacts proportional to the costs of the	N/A
Other aspects		
N/A		
Summary of Assessment of Economic Impacts	& Conclusions	
, , ,	•	•
nding issues?		
Issue	Action required	
	What are the qualitative / strategic impacts describ Long term reduced dependency on ground-based na What is the overall monetised benefits-costs ratio (B N/A Have the sponsors provided reasonable justification If the BCR is less than 1, are the quantitative and qualitative and qualita	Have the sponsors provided reasonable justification for the proportionality of analysis above? If the BCR is less than 1, are the quantitative and qualitative strategic impacts proportional to the costs of the ACP? Other aspects N/A Summary of Assessment of Economic Impacts & Conclusions This airspace change has been deliberately designed to have no material economic impacts or benefits on any airs stakeholder, or other third party. The only costs are the direct costs to the sponsor of implementing the change in nding issues?

CAA Options Appraisal Completed by	Name	Signature	Date
Airspace Regulator			02/09/2019
Economist			02/09/2019
Environmentalist			02/09/2019
ATM		N/A	Click or tap to enter a date.