

Date	Event	Stakeholder group	Consultation Method/ Comments	Output
10-Feb-20	Launch emails	NATMAC, All Consultation Strategy Listed Stakeholders, Airport Parish Council Liaison Committee	Direct BCC group emails, Airport Twitter, Airport FB Page and telephone discussion with Flyer Magazine, LAA and Pilot Magazine. Subsequently picked up and shared through social media and on Flyer Magazine Forums.	Twitter - 1790 seen, 97 URL clicks, 134 interacted (as of 9 Mar) Facebook - 4391 reached. 141 URL clicks (as of 9 Mar)
11-Feb-20	Email	Other ANSPs/Airports	Bounce back resend to Bristol Airport and Gloucestershire Airport	
11-Feb	Meeting	Corporate Jet Operator	Meeting with the Little Jet Company (separate meeting subject, but did sign post our consultation)	
12-Feb	Meeting	Crudwell Parish Council [REDACTED]	Meeting. A scheduled meeting for the Airport PC liaison committee, that was postponed by the chair (until 20 Feb), but the Crudwell PC were not aware of the cancellation. Took the opportunity to talk through our consultation document and signposted the consultation site link by email (email captured). PC agreed they would publish the consultation link in their magazine and on their website.	
12-Feb	Email	Based Businesses (Secure Data)	email clarification of their actions to respond	
13-Feb	Email	Parish Councils	Discussion about meeting with Crudwell PC and to agree a date to discuss the consultation with the PC and material required for the next Kemble and Ewen Parish Council meeting (Airport is a standing agenda item)	
14-Feb	Meeting	Parish Council	Kemble and Ewen Parish Council Meeting. Leaflets supplied and email synopsis for PC chair.	Agenda Item on PC meeting and leaflets supplied. PC Meeting minutes
20-Feb	Meeting	Parish Council	Meeting with the PC representatives of the Airport Liaison Committee [REDACTED] - Kemble and Ewen, [REDACTED] - Oaksey and [REDACTED] CDC District Councillor. Asked the PC's for their help in publicising the consultation as wide as possible amongst their parishes	Meeting Minutes agreed
29-Feb	PR	GA	Release of Spring issue of Pilot Magazine (Hard copy), with Cotswold Airport Consultation mentioned on Page 12 in Airfield Notes/News. Online copy and Forums already included our consultation.	Hard copy Pilot distribution
09-Mar	All	All	Resent email to all stakeholders, reminding all that the consultation has 30 days left until it closes and urging people to engage with the consultation and provide their view	28 Formal Responses to Date
09-Mar	All	All	Re-tweeted (Twitter) and reposted (Facebook) reminding all that the consultation has 30 days left until it closes and urging people to engage with the consultation and provide their view	Facebook: 2542 reached, 48 URL links Twitter: 948 reached, 7 engagements
09-Mar	Email	Based Flying Club	Email stating good idea and supportive, but no formal response through Citizen space.	email, but no formal response
10-Mar	Email	NATMAC (BALPA)	BALPA does not wish to comment specifically on this airspace change consultation but has no objections.	email, but no formal response
16-Mar	Email	Swindon Borough Council	Invitation to present the consultation and opportunities for wider development cooperation	Formal Presentation Planned for 5pm 1 April [later postponed due to COVID-19, but context considered out of scope for consultation]
16-Mar	Email	GA/Glider Community	[REDACTED] emailed to state that [REDACTED] will respond on behalf of the BGA.	36 Formal Responses to Date
21-Mar	Email	Local Town Council	Email response from Malmesbury Area Board stating they have considered the proposals and do not feel they need to bring to the Board for discussion. They deferred to a Response from the Airport Liaison Committee (Local Parish Councils/Kemble).	
30-Mar	Email/Social Media	NATMAC, All Consultation Strategy Listed Stakeholders, Airport Parish Council Liaison Committee	Direct BCC group emails to all targeted stakeholder and NATMAC who had not already responded. Announcements made via social media on both the Airport's Twitter and Facebook Pages.	
30-Mar	Email	NATMAC (BMAA)	Email response to BMAA based on their formal consultation response, which appeared to be aimed at the wrong stage and potentially without reading the consultation document.	Email response from BMAA confirming this was in error. [no further response was received]
30-Mar	Email	Cotswold AONB	Suggested that AONB unlikely to respond due to capacity. Change Sponsor has engaged with the AONB throughout	Email
31-Mar	Response	BGA and GAAC	Key Stakeholder response, supporting the proposal	As previously referred by the BGA email response on 16 Mar
31-Mar	Response	Swindon Borough Council	Swindon Borough Council formally responded, without the opportunity for the [out of scope] meeting, supporting the proposal.	
01-Apr	Response	Gloucestershire County Council	Formal response (supporting)	
03-Apr	Response	NATMAC (DATM) and RAF Brize Norton/Fairford conjoined response	Formal Response, key for both LoA and as another concurrent ACP in the local area	
06-Apr	Consultation Closes	All Targeted Stakeholders and wider stakeholders	Extract download of all responses from Citizen Space and commence Stage 3D to analyse, then collate and categorise	49 Formal Responses. All targeted stakeholders reached. Facebook : 4523 reached, 145 URL clicks to the consultation page. Twitter: 2567 reached, 98 URL clicks to consultation page.