

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 September 2020 15:25
To: SYE-LR-ACP (MULTIUSER)
Subject: Re: Little Rissington ACP

Hello [REDACTED],

Sorry for the belated answer.

I don't represent an airport or organisation.
I'm only expressing my views as a glider pilot based in Gloucestershire.

Please consider the following as my comments:

I object to the proposed airspace change.

While I normally call Rissington Radio while close, I feel the ACP is disproportionate, not properly justified and will not provide an overall benefit of the UK aviation community.

It seems disproportionate for an airfield with 5 based aircraft and a very small number of visitors to be given an ATZ. On that basis nearly all airfield and half of the farm strips would qualify if they could use taxpayer money to provide AGCS.

The 2 airproxes quoted in section 3 are not relevant to the proposed ATZ. The 2018 Airprox (2018269) was classified as Risk Category E by the Airprox Board, that is "normal procedures, safety standards and parameters pertained". The 2019 Airprox (2019079) occurred above the proposed ATZ.

In view of the absence of relevance of the Airprox quoted in the consultation document, I feel the relevance of the 40 DASOR quoted in section 3 is put in question.

The consultation document reproduces some of the military half and quarter million maps. Has the sponsor investigated changing the depiction of Little Rissington airfield on the civilian maps? It would be beneficial to be charted as a gliding site with a large "G" rather than as a government airfield with gliding activity (only a little G on the periphery).

The consultation document does not discuss the impact of the proposed airspace sponsored by RAF Brize Norton. The combination of these 2 ACPs is going to transform the area to the south of Little Rissington into a dangerous pinch point.

Best regards

[REDACTED]

Le mardi 23 juin 2020 à 13:42 +0000, SYE-LR-ACP (MULTIUSER) a écrit :
> Afternoon [REDACTED],
>
> There is an issue with the ACP portal whereby Level 2C changes (which

> an ATZ is), bypasses the design and consultation stage as there is no
> input required from the citizen portal. The ACP portal is apparently
> designed for Level 1 changes where re-designs of airspace are common.
> The CAA is adding a statement to that effect onto the portal, but it
> is not scheduled to be added until the next update in mid-July.
>
> If you would like to feed your comments direct to me through this
> email, I will ensure they are captured in our submission to the CAA,
> which is not due to take place until Sep.
>
> If you could include the airport or organisation you represent that
> would be useful.
>
> Regards
>
> [REDACTED]
>
> [REDACTED]
>
>
> Wing Commander [REDACTED] |2 FTS OC Ops Wg (Senior Operator, Aerodrome
> Operator & Regulation)|
> 2 Flying Training School, RAF Syerston, Newark NG23 5NN|
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: [REDACTED]
> Sent: 23 June 2020 14:28
> To: SYE-LR-ACP (MULTIUSER) <SYE-LR-ACP@mod.gov.uk>
> Subject: Re: Little Rissington ACP
>
> Good afternoon,
>
> It seems that due to the glitches of the website, there is no link to
> access the comment page on the ACP portal.
> Under step 3c, it says the consultation has not yet started and there
> is no link.
>
> I tried looking on
> <https://eur01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fconsultations.airspacechange.co.uk%2F&data=02%7C01%7CSYE-LR-ACP%40mod.gov.uk%7C753d33af2fc14966878408d85339dd55%7Cbe7760ed5953484bae95d0a16dfa09e5%7C0%7C1%7C637350855277104414&sdata=J%2F1DMZy1XjID0BfGX%2F9dYPC8bzmWn7Dg91ou5QekAWU%3D&reserved=0>
> but only the closed consultations seem to be searchable.
>
> Regards
>
> [REDACTED]
>
>
>
> Le mardi 16 juin 2020 à 18:06 +0000, SYE-LR-ACP (MULTIUSER) a écrit :
> > Good afternoon and thank you for your email.
> >

> > There appears to be some glitches as you highlight, which I think is
> > one of the problems with a Level 2c change. I asked the CAA if they
> > wanted to move the process back, but as long as we allow 12 weeks
> > for consultation, the process can hold on at Step 4b until
> > appropriate stakeholder consultation is completed. I certainly
> > didn't process to that point from my end!
> >
> > The consultation actually started today and is open to everyone
> > including the public, who can comment on the ACP portal. The
> > engagement document was uploaded today and the closing date is 7
> > Sep, but I have attached the document to this email for you.
> >
> > Regards
> >
> > [REDACTED]
> >
> > [REDACTED]
> >
> >
> > Wing Commander [REDACTED] Hobson|2 FTS OC Ops Wg (Senior Operator,
> > Aerodrome Operator & Regulation)|
> > 2 Flying Training School, RAF Syerston, Newark NG23 5NN|
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: [REDACTED]
> > Sent: 16 June 2020 18:23
> > To: SYE-LR-ACP (MULTIUSER) <SYE-LR-ACP@mod.gov.uk>
> > Subject: Little Rissington ACP
> >
> > Madam/Sir,
> >
> > I recently became aware of the Little Rissington ACP.
> > I am surprised that all the steps from Step 1 to Step 3d seems to
> > have been completed between 13th of May and 10th of June.
> >
> > I further concerned that the consultation seems to have happen one
> > 1
> > day (the 10th of June) and that no consultation document nor list of
> > stakeholders are published. Also whether the consultation was open to
> > the wider public.
> >
> > It may all be glitches in the airspacechange.caa.co.uk website.
> >
> > Please could you confirm on which date the consultation will open?
> >
> > Regards
> >
> > [REDACTED]
> >
> >
> >
> >