

ACP2019-86 London Biggin Hill RNAV (GNSS) IAP to Runway 21

CAP1616 Stage 2 Gateway – CAA Response

This ACP is not approved for progression to Stage 3 for the following reasons:

- 1) The application of the Sponsor's set constraints and Design Principles during the options development is not clearly explained which leads to inconsistencies.
- 2) A lack of a clearly defined base-line option leading to confused analysis in the Initial Options Appraisal.
- 3) Transparency – The Sponsor does not include all the relevant stakeholder responses in their submission.
- 4) Clarity and Consistency – some of the statements/explanations lack the required detail such that the reader has to make assumptions regarding how options have been developed through Stage 2. The descriptions and statements necessary to understand the impacts/benefits of some options and how they have been developed differ or contradict between documents.
- 5) The narrative regarding how the Sponsor responded to stakeholder feed-back is lacking and does not explain how some responses (feed-back) was understood and accounted for.