ASSESSMENT MEETING MINUTES: OPERATIONAL SERVICE ENHANCEMENTS PROJECT:- IMPROVED CONNECTIVITY THROUGH NEW AND/ OR AMENDED ATS ROUTES/ WAYPOINTS

11th October 2021 HELD VIA TEAMS

Present	Appointment Airspace Regulator (Technical) Principle Airspace Regulator	Representing CAA CAA
	Airspace Regulator (Consultation and Engagement)	CAA
	Principal Airspace Regulator	CAA
	Airspace Regulator (Environmental)	CAA
	Airspace Change Specialist	NATS
	Project Manager	NATS
	ATC Lead	NATS
	Airspace Implementation Manager	NATS
	Airspace Change Compliance and Delivery Manager	NATS
Apologies	Airspace Regulator (Economic)	

CAA Assessment Meeting Opening Statement

CAA noted that the following agenda and the sponsor presentation slide pack were received in advance of the Assessment Meeting and confirmed that the documents must be published by the sponsor, together with minutes of the meeting, on the Airspace Change Portal page. CAA explained the purpose of the meeting and confirmed that the meeting was an Assessment Meeting and not a Gateway. The CAA reinforced that the sponsor was required to provide a broad description of their proposed approach to meeting the CAA's CAP 1616 requirements, but the CAA was not deciding whether the proposed approach met the detailed requirements of the CAA's process at this stage. The purpose of the Assessment Meeting (set out in detail in CAP 1616) was broadly:

- for the Sponsor to present and discuss their Statement of Need,
- to enable the CAA to consider whether the proposal concerned falls within the scope of the formal airspace change process, including determining whether the proposal falls within the scope of a scaled CAP 1616 ACP for the introduction of RNP Instrument Approach Procedures (IAPs) without an Approach Control as described in CAP 1961,
- to enable the CAA to consider the appropriate provisional Level to assign to the change proposal.

Additionally, the sponsor was required to provide information on how it intended to proceed to fulfil the requirements of the airspace change process and to provide information on timescales. Lastly, the sponsor was required to provide information on how it intended to meet the engagement requirements of the various stages of the airspace change process.

	ACTION
Item 1 – Introduction	
Introductions made and attendees confirmed.	
It was agreed by all parties that as there will be three OSEP assessment meetings (ACP-2021-60, 61 and 62) the opening statement can apply to all three. Furthermore, it was agreed that as there will be overlap within the slides presented only new information would need to be discussed in each presentation unless the previously covered information was of particular significance to the proposed change.	
opened the meeting with the CAA opening statement.	

(terr 0 Otatement of blood (discussion and review)	1
Item 2 – Statement of Need (discussion and review)	
The Statement of Need was presented and reviewed.	
No Questions were received during the assessment meeting in relation to the Statement of Need. It was therefore deemed fit for purpose.	
Item 3 – Issues or opportunities arising from proposed change	
In Q2 2021 NATS raised a single overarching ACP (ACP-2021-018) which sought to implement change of multiple types over the entire UK FIR. During the assessment meeting for this overarching ACP, the CAA raised concerns that this may be too broad a swathe to ensure effective stakeholder engagement and risked a single element failing jeopardising the whole ACP. During this assessment meeting it was suggested by the CAA a better approach may be to split the changes by type.	
NATS acknowledged this feedback and made the decision to withdraw ACP-2021-018 and submit three separate ACPs. Each new ACP addresses a single issue to mitigate against this risk:	
 ACP-2021-062 – Operational Service Enhancements Project:- New and/ or Revised Arrival Procedures ACP-2021-061 – Improved Connectivity Through New and/ or Amended ATS Routes/ Waypoints (this one) 	
 ACP-2021-060 – Operational Service Enhancements Project:- Improving Access to Inactive SUAs. 	
This ACP (2021-061) seeks to make changes to the ATS route network.	
NATS presented slides which provided background information to the change as well as indicative examples of what might be considered and what savings could be realised for each change. NATS explained that options were not limited to those presented and would welcome suggestions from stakeholders for consideration. However, any change that had the potential to impact traffic at or below 7,000 ft would not be considered within this ACP.	
NATS highlighted their concern around stakeholder fatigue and confusion and low responses bought on from a series of OSEP ACPs in quick succession. Engagement will be targeted and clearly indicate which ACP it relates to.	
CAA raised a concern that as the ACP is looking country wide, should a single aspect of the proposal fail, then all aspects would fail.	
NATS is aware there is risk associated with pursuing a number of changes over a large geographical area within a single ACP, and stated this risk is acceptable and can be mitigated.	
Note If these were further subdivided the increased costs of undertaking multiple additional ACPs would erode the cost/benefit ratio and would make further fragmentation of these proposals prohibitive	
Item 4 – Options to exploit opportunities or address issues identified	
See Item 3	
 CAA requested NATS use this ACP as an opportunity to: Remove superfluous 'U' designators from the UK ATS Structure as this could offer significant fuel benefit in climb and descent. Remove dual designated routes where able and Rationalise the use of route designators NATS acknowledged the request and will consider these as potential design options. 	
Item 5 – Provisional indication of the scale level and process requirements*	
NATS expects this change to be categorised as a level 2C change owing to the changes largely reflecting tactical airspace use as well as being contained above 7,000 ft. CAA provisionally agreed with the scaling but will confirm following the Stage 2 gateway	

required. However, as the cha assessment will be sufficient al the change. NATS confirmed that as the OS will quantify the predicted fuel a	nge is perceived though NATS m SEP project is al and CO ₂ savings	•	
 CAA raised the following conce Does the Top 10% of a types, e.g. long haul, d 	aircraft operators	g the list of stakeholders: s contain a representative mix of traffic	
include AONB's and qu would not be required.		akeholder list might need to be extended to knowledged if this change is a level 2C this	
before commencing St	age 1 engagem		
		vant AONBs, quiet areas etc but as any progressed they do not feel it is likely to be	
CAA stated that if a change occ engagement will be required	curs greater tha	n 12 miles offshore, ICAO High Seas	
deadline, the period between this ar Regulatory team (Airspace Regulati recommendation to the CAA Gatewa CAA is assessing the process empl CAP1616. It is not an assessment of	nd the gateway dec on) of the docume ay decision maker(oyed and its comp f the merits of the s	or each Gateway at the agreed submission sision will be an analysis by the CAA Airspace ntation submitted, for the purposes of making a (s). In conducting the gateway assessment, the liance with the guidance stipulated within submission itself, which is reviewed at Stage 5 -	
but has not been provided as part o sponsor to provide information by v submission. Any further information	f the Gateway sub vay of clarification n sought by Airspa	onsor that is referred to in the gateway submission mission materials. We may also request the relating to statements or assumptions made in the the Regulation at this stage is for clarificatory	
but has not been provided as part o sponsor to provide information by v submission. Any further information purposes and is only for determinin In any instance where a sponsor ha	f the Gateway sub vay of clarification n sought by Airspa g compliance with s not met the requ	onsor that is referred to in the gateway submission mission materials. We may also request the relating to statements or assumptions made in the the Regulation at this stage is for clarificatory	
but has not been provided as part o sponsor to provide information by v submission. Any further information purposes and is only for determinin In any instance where a sponsor has the gateway decision and advise of Please note that <u>this text does not a</u> of RNP Instrument Approach Proces	f the Gateway sub vay of clarification i sought by Airspa g compliance with s not met the requi next steps. <u>pply to airspace ci</u> dures (IAPs) witho	onsor that is referred to in the gateway submission mission materials. We may also request the relating to statements or assumptions made in the ace Regulation at this stage is for clarificatory the CAP 1616 process.	
but has not been provided as part o sponsor to provide information by v submission. Any further information purposes and is only for determinin In any instance where a sponsor has the gateway decision and advise of Please note that <u>this text does not a</u> of RNP Instrument Approach Proces	f the Gateway sub vay of clarification sought by Airspa g compliance with s not met the requ next steps. <u>pply to airspace cu</u> dures (IAPs) without t can be removed	onsor that is referred to in the gateway submission mission materials. We may also request the relating to statements or assumptions made in the ce Regulation at this stage is for clarificatory the CAP 1616 process. irements of the process, we will inform them after hange proposals involving the sole implementation ut an Approach Control, as Gateway Assessments	
but has not been provided as part o sponsor to provide information by v submission. Any further information purposes and is only for determinin In any instance where a sponsor hat the gateway decision and advise of Please note that <u>this text does not a</u> <u>of RNP Instrument Approach Procee</u> are not required. Therefore, this text Item 6 – Provisional process Stage Assessment meeting Stage 1 – Define Stage 2 – Develop Stage 3 – Consult Stage 4 – Update and Submit	f the Gateway sub vay of clarification sought by Airspa g compliance with s not met the requi- next steps. <u>pply to airspace claures (IAPs) witho</u> tran be removed timescales* Date 11/10/2021 28/10/2022 16/12/2022 24/02/2023 06/07/2023	onsor that is referred to in the gateway submission mission materials. We may also request the relating to statements or assumptions made in the ce Regulation at this stage is for clarificatory the CAP 1616 process. irements of the process, we will inform them after hange proposals involving the sole implementation ut an Approach Control, as Gateway Assessments	Timescale
but has not been provided as part o sponsor to provide information by v submission. Any further information purposes and is only for determinin In any instance where a sponsor ha- the gateway decision and advise of Please note that <u>this text does not a</u> of RNP Instrument Approach Procee are not required. Therefore, this text	f the Gateway sub vay of clarification is sought by Airspa g compliance with s not met the requi- next steps. pply to airspace cl dures (IAPs) without tan be removed timescales* Date 11/10/2021 28/10/2022 24/02/2023 06/07/2023 21/09/2023	onsor that is referred to in the gateway submission mission materials. We may also request the relating to statements or assumptions made in the ice Regulation at this stage is for clarificatory the CAP 1616 process. irements of the process, we will inform them after hange proposals involving the sole implementation <u>ut an Approach Control</u> , as Gateway Assessments from the Assessment Meeting minutes. Doc Deadline/ Submission 14/10/2022 02/12/2022	Timescale
but has not been provided as part o sponsor to provide information by v submission. Any further information purposes and is only for determinin In any instance where a sponsor hat the gateway decision and advise of Please note that <u>this text does not a</u> of <u>RNP Instrument Approach Procee</u> are not required. Therefore, this tex Item 6 – Provisional process Stage Assessment meeting Stage 1 – Define Stage 2 – Develop Stage 3 – Consult Stage 4 – Update and Submit Stage 5 – Decide Stage 6 – Implement NATS are proposing to utilise a stakeholder audience and expe CAA suggested a longer consu	f the Gateway sub vay of clarification of sought by Airspa g compliance with s not met the requi- next steps. <u>pply to airspace claures (IAPs) witho</u> tran be removed timescales* <u>Date</u> 11/10/2021 28/10/2022 24/02/2023 06/07/2023 06/07/2023 21/09/2023 Not Before All an 8-week consu- ected net positiv- iltation could les	onsor that is referred to in the gateway submission mission materials. We may also request the relating to statements or assumptions made in the ce Regulation at this stage is for clarificatory the CAP 1616 process. irements of the process, we will inform them after hange proposals involving the sole implementation ut an Approach Control, as Gateway Assessments from the Assessment Meeting minutes. Doc Deadline/ Submission 14/10/2022 02/12/2022 10/02/2023 RAC 05 2023 (18/05/23) ultation owing to the limited targeted	Timescale

* The timeline agreed may become subject to change by the CAA. This is because the Secretary of State for Transport has directed the CAA to prioritise RNP Instrument Approach Procedures (IAPs) without an Approach Control proposals; this may impact Airspace Regulation resource and consequently timelines. Item 7 – Next steps	
Timescales to be agreed and communicated. Assessment meeting slides and agenda to be uploaded to portal. Meeting minutes to be circulated, agreed and uploaded to portal (redacted version).	Timescales Portal
Item 8 – Any other business	
None	

ACTIONS ARISING FROM OPERATIONAL SERVICE ENHANCEMENT PROJECT:- IMPROVING ACCESS TO INACTIVE SUAs ASSESSMENT MEETING

Subject	Name	Action	Deadline
Timelines		Confirm Timescales	
Minutes		Circulate and upload redacted approved minutes, slidepack and agenda to portal	

NATS Ltd ACP Sponsor