From:		
Sent: 09 December 2021 19:33		
То:		
Subject: [External] RE: Keevil Stage 1 Submission Follow Up		

Hi ,

No problem at all. My comments can be seen below in blue:

- Once you had reached out to your stakeholders with the letter requesting their feedback, did you issue any reminders/follow up contact to those you had not yet received a response from?
 No we didn't- primarily because we did not believe there was a requirement to. As the intent of this stage was to seek feedback on Design Principles our working assumption was that we were not going to receive as much feedback compared to when a more developed proposal is created at a later stage. This was reflected within the Engagement Letter: 'At this stage we are not seeking feedback on the wider proposal as stakeholders will have an opportunity to do this later in the Airspace Change process once the proposal has been developed in greater detail.'
- 2. Please provide some information that explains why you decided not to include environmental stakeholders in your Stage 1 engagement eg Natural England. We did not believe that environmental organisations were required at this stage, particularly due to the potential low level environmental impact of this ACP. However, I would suggest that they are more relevant when we have some design options to seek their feedback on. However, if any stakeholders added after Stage 1 feels there is an error or omission in the Design Principles that the sponsor agrees with, it will be discussed with the CAA how to amend.
- 3. We note there was some interest/involvement from one of the local MPs as part of the Temp ACP activities. Could you expand on your reasoning as to why you have chosen not to include MPs in your Stage 1 engagement for this ACP?

We did not believe that MPs would need to be part of the Design Principles process but saw a need for them later in the process once a more detailed proposal is created. We believe their constituents will have more involvement and raise any concerns (or support) during future consultation. At this stage we see the MPs as a conduit for those stakeholders who are being engaged with and have a voice in the process, rather than a stakeholder themselves. If deemed necessary, MPs can be added to the stakeholder list for the remainder of the process.

- 4. We would appreciate some further details on the SPTA engagement event that you took part in:
 - Was the event established to support the ACP or were you taking part in an event that was already scheduled?
 Already scheduled by SPTA Air Ops but I was asked to brief several weeks in advance.
 - b. Was there any ACP-related material produced for the event (handout, pdf, powerpoint etc)? If so we would appreciate it if you could share it with us.
 Only a map of the TDA from the previous ACP was used in the presentation for reference
 - (the presentation was created by SPTA Air Ops and not made by myself).
 c. Did you receive any feedback relating to the event and was any of this captured in afterevent notes/minutes? If so we would appreciate it if you could share it with us, unless it is already included in your submitted material.
 I exchanged contact details with a variety of stakeholders after (namely the Avon Hang-Gliding and Paragliding Association and Bannerdown Gliding Club). The only evidence of having spoken to the Gliding Club is the follow up email that can be found in the engagement evidence on page B-23 of the Stage 1 submission.
- 5. Please confirm if you notified your stakeholders of the revised DPs once you had revised them.

Normally we informed stakeholders of any additional DPs based on their feedback (see page B-20) as soon as a decision was made to include it.

The BGA/ Bath, Wilts and North Dorset Gliding Club response only recently received their feedback from us due to the internal review that was required however they were notified within our response that we would be making amendments to the DPs as a result of their feedback.

However, we were not tracking the up-to-date feedback to stakeholders as a requirement as they will be able to see the revisions in the Portal documentation and at Stage 2. We also, in the case of the BGA responses, took time to deliberate on whether or not to incorporate their Design Principle feedback therefore were unable to notify them of any revised DP until the initial issue of the Stage 1 documentation.

If you have any further questions please do not hesitate to ask.

Kind regards,

Larkhill SALISBURY Wiltshire SP4 80	RA Horne Barracks Skype:
From:	
Sent: 08 December 2021 15:04	
То:	>
Subject: Koovil Stage 1 Submission Follow Un	

Subject: Keevil Stage 1 Submission Follow Up

Hi

As part of our review of the Keevil BVLOS Stage 1 submission material, we have a few areas where we would appreciate some further details or clarification.

- 1. Once you had reached out to your stakeholders with the letter requesting their feedback, did you issue any reminders/follow up contact to those you had not yet received a response from?
- 2. Please provide some information that explains why you decided not to include environmental stakeholders in your Stage 1 engagement eg Natural England.
- 3. We note there was some interest/involvement from one of the local MPs as part of the Temp ACP activities. Could you expand on your reasoning as to why you have chosen not to include MPs in your Stage 1 engagement for this ACP?
- 4. We would appreciate some further details on the SPTA engagement event that you took part in:
 - a. Was the event established to support the ACP or were you taking part in an event that was already scheduled?
 - b. Was there any ACP-related material produced for the event (handout, pdf, powerpoint etc)? If so we would appreciate it if you could share it with us.
 - c. Did you receive any feedback relating to the event and was any of this captured in afterevent notes/minutes? If so we would appreciate it if you could share it with us, unless it is already included in your submitted material.
- 5. Please confirm if you notified your stakeholders of the revised DPs once you had revised them.

Thanks.

Airspace Regulator (Technical) Airspace, ATM & Aerodromes Civil Aviation Authority

Tel:

Follow us on Twitter: @UK_CAA



Please consider the environment. Think before printing this email.