

Birmingham ACP Assessment Meeting Minutes

05 April 2022 Meeting No. 1

•								
Attendees								
BAL 1		BAATL Safety and Compliance Manager						
BAL 2		BAATL Head of Air Navigation Services						
BAL 3		BAL Head of Sustainability						
CAA 1		CAA						
CAA 2		CAA						
CAA 3		CAA						
CAA 4		CAA						
Apologies								
N/A		N/A						
Notes								
Reference	Description		Action Owner					
TNT-001-N1	BAL 2 explained that historically Birmingham Airport has had a set of non-radar procedures and associated with these are 3 non-radar SIDS – TNT1K, DTY1F and TNT4G. These SIDs are published within the AIP for non-radar use only. BAL 2 confirmed that these SIDs have not been used in the last 8 years and believes that prior to this, these SIDs were only used for practice/training exercises. Informed that BAATL have recently reviewed non-radar procedures that ATCOs were nervous about employing procedural control when vast majority do not have procedural rating or procedurally validated at EGBB it has taken a lot of effort to keep them comfort and thankfully there has never been an event where all radars have failed. BAL 2 explained therefore that a decision was taken to change non-radar procedures in which these SIDs are no longer required. Explained that BAATL simply wish to delete these SIDs. Confirmed a Statement of Need has been completed and an ACP has been opened. Confirmed there is difficulty in completing the ACP as diagrams of affected airspace are required, which is not applicable in this case as nothing will be affected by this change, puzzled on how to proceed with this proposal. BAL 1 informed that this recent review took place following a letter received from the CAA regarding the use of ground-based VOR and conventional SIDs. These three SIDs were identified as not being used as part of the review. BAL 2 highlighted that TNT1K is RNAV whilst the other two SIDs are conventional. CAA 1 confirmed that on previous operational experience these SIDs are never used. Feels like it sounds like a straightforward ACP. CAA 4 said that an options appraisal should not be required in this case. CAA 2 and CAA 3 also agreed with this. BAL 2 confirmed they would like to be able to delete the SIDs before the deadline of 31.12.22. CAA 1 confirmed that CAA would like to see SRD which will need to be updated by the Route Management team. This does not necessarily need to coincide with a NATS build so any AIRAC would be							

REFERENCE: FM-BAATL-ANS-020 (1.0)

PAGE: 1 of 2 DATE: 1 Sept 2014



	be a Level 0 change however it will affect the adaptation at Swanwick and the SRD so further investigation is warranted. CAA 1 raised the use of identifiers for runway 15 in particular as there are currently 6 – confirmed this will be an AIP change and will affect the adaptation as well. No further meetings planned; remainder of communication can be done via email.							
Agreed Dec	isions				·			
Reference	ce Description							
Closed Acti	ons							
Reference	Description			Action	Date			
	•			Owner	Closed			
0	A - 1*							
Outstanding					1 2 2 .			
Reference	Description			Action Owner				
				Owner				
New Action	s							
Reference	Description				Due Date			
TNT-01-A1	Speak to Chris Blackh	CAA 1						
TNT-01-A2	Make Chris Blackham	CAA 1						
TNT-01-A3	WCO2Y usage data	BAL						
TNT-01-A4		Advise BAATL on how to complete ACP with airspace diagrams BAATL to engage with TC and PC to discuss proposal, agree AIRAC cycle and align ACP						
TNT-01-A5	outcomes with NAS u	nd align ACP BAL 2/BAL	,					
Next Meetin		puate where possible		ZIBAL				
Date:	N/A	Time:	N/A					
Venue:	N/A		1 -					

FM-BAATL-ANS-020 (1.0) 2 of 2 1 Sept 2014

REFERENCE: PAGE: DATE: