



Enabling BVLOS RPAS operations from Keevil Airfield, Wiltshire: Summary report

This report was created on Friday 26 August 2022 at 10:06 and includes 64 responses.

The activity ran from 01/06/2022 to 24/08/2022.

Contents

Question 1: What is your name?	1
Name	1
Question 2: Are you responding as an individual or do you represent an organisation?	1
Response Type	1
Organisation	2
Question 3: What is your email address?	2
Email	2
Question 4: What best describes your association with this airspace change proposal?	2
Stakeholder Type	2
Question 5: Which airspace design option do you prefer?	2
Airspace Design Preference	2
Question 6: Please rank the airspace designs options in order (from 1 being the preferred to 4 being the least preferred):	3
Table of "Design Option Ranking" in rank order	3
Design Option Ranking - Option 2 - Design 1	3
Design Option Ranking - Option 2 - Design 2	4
Design Option Ranking - Option 3 - Design 1	4
Design Option Ranking - Option 3 - Design 2	5
Question 7: Are there any other airspace design options you think the MOD should consider?	5
Additional airspace design options	5
Airspace Design	5
Question 8: Whilst ensuring that essential military activity can be achieved, the MOD is keen to reduce the impact of its operations on other airspace users. Are there any design amendments or potential mitigations that could be used to achieve this?	5
Design amendments and mitigations	5
Question 9: Are there any other general considerations that you would like the MOD to factor in to mitigate against the impact on local communities?	5
General feedback	5
Question 10: The MOD would like your permission to publish your consultation response. Please indicate your preference:	6
Response Publishing Consent	6

Question 1: What is your name?

Name

There were 64 responses to this part of the question.

Question 2: Are you responding as an individual or do you represent an organisation?

Response Type



Option	Total	Percent
I am responding as an individual	51	79.69%
I represent an organisation	13	20.31%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Organisation

There were **19** responses to this part of the question.


Question 3: What is your email address?

Email

There were **58** responses to this part of the question.

Question 4: What best describes your association with this airspace change proposal?


Stakeholder Type



Option	Total	Percent
Local community stakeholder	34	53.12%
Aviation stakeholder	29	45.31%
NATMAC organisation	1	1.56%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Question 5: Which airspace design option do you prefer?


Airspace Design Preference



Option	Total	Percent
Option 2 (simple) - Design 1	45	70.31%
Option 2 (simple) - Design 2	3	4.69%
Option 3 (multi-sector) - Design 1	3	4.69%
Option 3 (multi-sector) - Design 2	13	20.31%
Not Answered	0	0.00%


Question 6: Please rank the airspace designs options in order (from 1 being the preferred to 4 being the least preferred):

Table of "Design Option Ranking" in rank order




Item	Ranking
Option 2 - Design 1	3.48
Option 3 - Design 2	2.33
Option 2 - Design 2	2.20
Option 3 - Design 1	1.98

Design Option Ranking - Option 2 - Design 1




Option	Total	Percent
1	44	68.75%
2	10	15.62%
3	7	10.94%
4	3	4.69%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Design Option Ranking - Option 2 - Design 2




Option	Total	Percent
1	3	4.69%
2	27	42.19%
3	14	21.88%
4	20	31.25%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Design Option Ranking - Option 3 - Design 1



Option	Total	Percent
1	4	6.25%
2	8	12.50%
3	35	54.69%
4	17	26.56%
Not Answered	0	0.00%


Design Option Ranking - Option 3 - Design 2



Option	Total	Percent
1	13	20.31%
2	19	29.69%
3	8	12.50%
4	24	37.50%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Question 7: Are there any other airspace design options you think the MOD should consider?

Additional airspace design options



Option	Total	Percent
Yes (please specify)	21	32.81%
No	43	67.19%
Not Answered	0	0.00%

Airspace Design

There were **20** responses to this part of the question.

Question 8: Whilst ensuring that essential military activity can be achieved, the MOD is keen to reduce the impact of its operations on other airspace users. Are there any design amendments or potential mitigations that could be used to achieve this?

Design amendments and mitigations

There were **40** responses to this part of the question.


Question 9: Are there any other general considerations that you would like the MOD to factor in to mitigate against the impact on local communities?

General feedback

There were **41** responses to this part of the question.

Question 10: The MOD would like your permission to publish your consultation response. Please indicate your preference:

Response Publishing Consent



Option	Total	Percent
Publish response	41	64.06%
Publish response anonymously (this will remove personal identifiers such as name and organisation)	23	35.94%
Not Answered	0	0.00%