

CAP 1616 ACP Restart: Sponsor Evidence and Justification for Restart of a Paused ACP

Sponsor: NATS En Route Limited

ACP ID: ACP-2021-061

ACP Title: OSEP: Improved connectivity through new and/ or amended ATS routes/

waypoints

Link to CAA Policy Statement: Guidance for Sponsors

Introduction and Background

This ACP was paused in June 2022 to enable NERL to prioritise resources on other airspace change projects.

NERL now intends to resume this project in order to facilitate the beneficial impacts from the proposed changes.

Sponsors ACP Restart Proposal

Q1) Have there been any changes to the issue or opportunity in the Statement of Need, the	
operating environment or geographical area in which the ACP is being developed?	
1A) Changes to the issue or	Confirmation statement
opportunity in the Statement	There are no changes to the issue identified which would
of Need	impact this ACP.
	The inbuilt inefficiencies within the ATS route network,
	caused through inefficient ATS routes or connectivity
	between ATS routes and neighbouring FIRs still remains
	as described.
	This proposal should enhance connectivity whilst
	improving fuel efficiency, reducing greenhouse gas
	emissions, and reducing pilot/controller workload where
	practicable.
	These issues remain to be addressed through this ACP.
1B) Changes to the operating	Confirmation statement
environment or geographical	There are no changes to the operating environment or
area	geographical area which would impact this ACP, the
	need still exists as described.
Q2) Have there been any changes to law, government policy or CAA requirements that would	
affect the development of an ACP or parts of an ACP?	
2A) Changes to law or	Confirmation statement
government policy	NERL understands there are no changes applicable to
	this ACP.
2B) Changes to CAA	Confirmation statement
requirements	NERL understands there are no changes applicable to
	this ACP.
Q3) Have there been any changes to the list of identified stakeholders?	
3) Stakeholder changes	Confirmation statement
	There would be no change to the stakeholders
	previously proposed in the assessment meeting.