



# AIRSPACE MODERNISATION AIRSPACE CHANGE PROPOSAL

# STEP 2B INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL

**APPENDIX C** 

VECTORED ARRIVALS
Runway 09L - Part 9





### **Table of Contents**

1.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 09L - Option H	3
	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 09L - Option I	
	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 09L - Option J	
	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 09L - Option K	

### Vectored Arrivals – RWY 09L Option H

### **Option Description**

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 09L Final Approach joining points between 15 and 19nm.



## Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 16h)	31,300	+200
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 8h)	31,500	No change
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	197,100	-40,200
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	180,500	+49,100

### **Communities - Air Quality**

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact			
Metric	Option Value		
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.		

### Wider Society - Tranquillity & Biodiversity

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0-7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	276km <sup>2</sup>	+79km <sup>2</sup>
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	64km <sup>2</sup>	+20km <sup>2</sup>
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km²	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0-1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0-3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

### Wider Society - Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

#### **General Aviation - Access**

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.





# General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity

No economic effect expected on GA operations.

Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.

### **Commercial Airlines – Training costs**

Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.

### Airport/ANSP - Infrastructure costs

No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.

### Airport/ANSP - Deployment costs

There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.

### **Safety**

No IFP Design issues identified.

Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.

### Interdependencies, Conflicts & Trade-Offs

Option may restrict CCO/CDO to/from 7000ft for RAF Northolt and Farnborough, subject to the preferred options taken forward by those airports.

## General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn

Change in Fuel Burn (compared to the Baseline annual - tonnes) Not able to quantify at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.

#### **Commercial Airlines – Other costs**

None identified.

### Airport/ANSP – Operational costs

This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.

Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the airport.

#### Adherence to AMS

Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand and providing system resilience to the benefit of airspace users, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A consistently longer final approach could impact landing rates. This will be assessed further in Stage 3 should this option be favourable from an environmental &/or design perspective.

### Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY09L Option H

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.






## **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 09L Option H (Day)**




			Overflight
Data	Population	Ov	
Rate	Baseline	Option H	
≥1	2,227,400	562,700	
≥ 5	1,207,700	321,600	
≥ 10	644,100	242,500	
≥ 20	263,900	156,100	
≥ 50	33,600	66,600	
≥ 100	19,600	38,200	
≥ 200	0	0	




Aircraft	Noise	<b>Events</b>
ronte abovo		

Rate	Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day			
Nate	Baseline	Option H		
≥1	237,300	197,000		
≥ 5	57,800	92,600		
≥ 10	45,400	66,000		
≥ 20	41,600	41,600		
≥ 50	31,400	30,900		
≥ 100	27,100 27,300			
≥ 200	0	0		



	_
NOISE	<b>Exposures</b>
140130	Exposures

		110100 =	L
Population count	Baseline	Option H	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L <sub>den</sub> )	62,000	94,100	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub> )	31,100	31,300	



	Noise Expos	Change
,	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase	Cha


Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	
Partial LOAEL	(of which 0 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	31,100	200  (of which 200 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	







## **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 09L Option H (Night)**






23:00 - 07:00


	<ul> <li>* *** *** ***</li> </ul>				_	
Δ	ircra	TT I	NOI		-v	אונ
$\overline{}$	II GI G		<b>VUI</b>	36	-vc	, III.

Rate	Population experiencing noise events above N60 each day				
Raie	Baseline	Option H			
≥1	131,400	180,500			
≥ 5	46,500	62,500			
≥ 10	0	0			
≥ 20	0	0			
≥ 50	0	0			
≥ 100	0	0			
≥ 200	0	0			



### **Noise Exposures**

		110100 =	ľ
Population count	Baseline	Option H	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L <sub>night</sub> )	46,600	55,700	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub> )	31,500	31,500	



### **Noise Exposure Change**

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL
Partial LOAEL	(of which 0 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	31,500	0 (of which 0 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)





### Vectored Arrivals – RWY 09L Option I

### **Option Description**

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 09L Final Approach joining points between 16 and 20nm.



## Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 16h)	31,300	+200
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 8h)	31,500	No change
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	185,100	-52,200
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	177,500	+46,100

### **Communities - Air Quality**

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact			
Metric	Option Value		
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.		

### Wider Society - Tranquillity & Biodiversity

	,	
Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0-7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	285km <sup>2</sup>	+88km²
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	62km <sup>2</sup>	+18km²
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km²	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0-1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0-3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

### Wider Society - Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

#### **General Aviation - Access**

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option may facilitate the release of CAS (LTMA 1) to the north and south of final approach, as the aircraft would not be on base-leg in this area. Assumes option would not be used in conjunction with another vectored arrival option.





# General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity

No economic effect expected on GA operations.

Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.

### **Commercial Airlines – Training costs**

Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.

### Airport/ANSP - Infrastructure costs

No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.

### Airport/ANSP - Deployment costs

There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.

### **Safety**

No IFP Design issues identified.

Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.

### Interdependencies, Conflicts & Trade-Offs

Option may restrict CCO/CDO to/from 7000ft for RAF Northolt and Farnborough, subject to the preferred options taken forward by those airports.

## General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn

Change in Fuel Burn (compared to the Baseline annual - tonnes) Not able to quantify at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.

#### **Commercial Airlines – Other costs**

None identified.

### Airport/ANSP – Operational costs

This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.

Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the airport.

#### **Adherence to AMS**

Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand and providing system resilience to the benefit of airspace users, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A consistently longer final approach could impact landing rates. This will be assessed further in Stage 3 should this option be favourable from an environmental &/or design perspective.

### Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY09L Option I

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.






## **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 09L Option I (Day)**



07:00 - 23:00

			Overflight
Rate	Population	Overflown	Ov
Rale	Baseline	Option I	
≥1	2,227,400	503,200	
≥ 5	1,207,700	286,300	
≥ 10	644,100	226,300	4.5
≥ 20	263,900	159,200	
≥ 50	33,600	67,000	
≥ 100	19,600	47,700	
> 200	0	0	




Aircraft Noise Events					
Doto	Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day		N65 events contour map		
Rate	Baseline	Option I			
≥1	237,300	185,100			
≥ 5	57,800	91,700			
≥ 10	45,400	83,700			
≥ 20	41,600	41,600	天 在 医 经 企		
≥ 50	31,400	30,900			
≥ 100	27,100	27,300	"一个"。"是是一个"。"我们们是一个"一个","我们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们		
≥ 200	0	0	1 5 40 30 40 140 30		

Noise Exposures					
Population count	Baseline	Option I	Partial LOAEL contour map		
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L <sub>den</sub> )	62,000	99,400			
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub> )	31,100	31,300			

	Noise Exposure Change					
Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map		
Partial LOAEL	of which 0 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	31,100	200 (of which 200 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	* 1-60 Observes withor  * 1-10 Observe withor  * 1-10 Obser		



## **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 09L Option I (Night)**






23:00 - 07:00


	<ul> <li>* *** *** ***</li> </ul>				_	
Δ	ircra	TT I	NOI		-v	אונ
$\overline{}$	II GI G		<b>VUI</b>	36	-vc	, III.

Rate	Population experiencing noise events above N60 each day				
Raie	Baseline	Option I			
≥1	131,400	177,500			
≥ 5	46,500	72,500			
≥ 10	0	0			
≥ 20	0	0			
≥ 50	0	0			
≥ 100	0	0			
≥ 200	0	0			



	_ :	_	_			_	_				_
N	N	18	Р	ר –	ĸ	n	n	SI	ш	re	9
	•	-	$\overline{}$			~	•	•	~	_	•

		NOISE EX
Population count	Baseline	Option I
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L <sub>night</sub> )	46,600	55,700
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub> )	31,500	31,500



### **Noise Exposure Change**

Change in Noise Exposure	at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL
Partial LOAEL	(of which 0 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	31,500	0 (of which 0 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)





### Vectored Arrivals – RWY 09L Option J

### **Option Description**

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 09L Final Approach joining points between 17 and 21nm.



## Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 16h)	31,300	+200
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 8h)	31,500	No change
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	168,400	-68,900
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	174,900	+43,500

### **Communities - Air Quality**

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society - Greenhouse Gas Impact					
Metric	Option Value				
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.				

### Wider Society - Tranquillity & Biodiversity

	•	
Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0-7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	291km <sup>2</sup>	+94km²
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	56km <sup>2</sup>	+12km²
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km²	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0-1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0-3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

### Wider Society - Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

#### **General Aviation - Access**

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option may facilitate the release of CAS (LTMA 1) to the north and south of final approach, as the aircraft would not be on base-leg in this area. Assumes option would not be used in conjunction with another vectored arrival option.





# General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity

No economic effect expected on GA operations.

Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.

### **Commercial Airlines – Training costs**

Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.

### Airport/ANSP - Infrastructure costs

No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.

### Airport/ANSP - Deployment costs

There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.

#### Safety

No IFP Design issues identified.

Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.

### Interdependencies, Conflicts & Trade-Offs

Option may restrict CCO/CDO to/from 7000ft for RAF Northolt and Farnborough, subject to the preferred options taken forward by those airports.

## General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn

Change in Fuel Burn (compared to the Baseline annual - tonnes) Not able to quantify at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.

#### **Commercial Airlines – Other costs**

None identified.

### Airport/ANSP - Operational costs

This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.

Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the airport.

#### Adherence to AMS

Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand and providing system resilience to the benefit of airspace users, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A consistently longer final approach could impact landing rates. This will be assessed further in Stage 3 should this option be favourable from an environmental &/or design perspective.

### Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY09L Option J

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.






## **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 09L Option J (Day)**



07:00 - 23:00

			Overflight
Rate	Population	Ov	
Raie	Baseline	Option J	E DIVE
≥1	2,227,400	423,300	
≥ 5	1,207,700	223,500	
≥ 10	644,100	175,800	
≥ 20	263,900	145,600	
≥ 50	33,600	76,600	
≥ 100	19,600	62,900	
≥ 200	0	0	



Aircraft Noise Events						
Data	Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day					
Rate	Baseline	Option J				
≥1	237,300	168,400				
≥ 5	57,800	91,000				
≥ 10	45,400	85,100				
≥ 20	41,600	41,600				
≥ 50	31,400	30,900				
≥ 100	27,100	27,300				
≥ 200	0	0				


Noise Exposures							
Population count	Baseline	Option J	Partial LOAEL contour map				
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L <sub>den</sub> )	62,000	99,500					
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub> )	31,100	31,300	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100				

	Noise Exposure Change							
Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map				
Partial LOAEL	(of which 0 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	31,100	200  (of which 200 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	1-1-10 Oriente Mare     1-1-10 Oriente Autre     1-1-10 Oriente Autre				





## **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 09L Option J (Night)**






23:00 - 07:00


Λ:	£4	NIa:aa	E	
Airc	ran	Noise	Events	

Rate	Population experiencing noise events above N60 each day					
Raie	Baseline	Option J				
≥1	131,400	174,900				
≥ 5	46,500	79,400				
≥ 10	0	0				
≥ 20	0	0				
≥ 50	0	0				
≥ 100	0	0				
≥ 200	0	0				



### **Noise Exposures**

		110100 =	
Population count	Baseline	Option J	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L <sub>night</sub> )	46,600	55,700	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub> )	31,500	31,500	



### **Noise Exposure Change**

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL
Partial LOAEL	(of which 0 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	31,500	0 (of which 0 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)







### Vectored Arrivals – RWY 09L Option K

### **Option Description**

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 09L Final Approach joining points between 18 and 22nm.



## Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 16h)	31,300	+200
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 8h)	31,500	No change
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	144,800	-92,500
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	164,600	+33,200

### **Communities - Air Quality**

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact				
Metric	Option Value			
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.			

### Wider Society - Tranquillity & Biodiversity

indicated and in						
Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline				
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0-7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	307km <sup>2</sup>	+110km²				
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	50km <sup>2</sup>	+6km²				
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km²	No change				
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0-1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change				
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0-3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change				

### Wider Society - Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

#### **General Aviation - Access**

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option may facilitate the release of CAS (LTMA 1) to the north and south of final approach, as the aircraft would not be on base-leg in this area. Assumes option would not be used in conjunction with another vectored arrival option.





# General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity

No economic effect expected on GA operations.

Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.

### **Commercial Airlines – Training costs**

Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.

### Airport/ANSP - Infrastructure costs

No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.

### Airport/ANSP - Deployment costs

There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.

### Safety

No IFP Design issues identified.

Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.

### Interdependencies, Conflicts & Trade-Offs

Option may restrict CCO/CDO to/from 7000ft for RAF Northolt and Farnborough, subject to the preferred options taken forward by those airports.

## General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn

Change in Fuel Burn (compared to the Baseline annual - tonnes) Not able to quantify at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.

#### **Commercial Airlines – Other costs**

None identified.

### Airport/ANSP – Operational costs

This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.

Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the airport.

#### Adherence to AMS

Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand and providing system resilience to the benefit of airspace users, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A consistently longer final approach could impact landing rates. This will be assessed further in Stage 3 should this option be favourable from an environmental &/or design perspective.

### Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY09L Option K

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.





## **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 09L Option K (Day)**



Λ	7		n	Λ	_	23:	
U	•	•	v	v	-	ZJ.	

			Overflight
Doto	Ov		
Rate	Baseline	Option K	
≥ 1	2,227,400	347,900	
≥ 5	1,207,700	202,500	
≥ 10	644,100	157,400	
≥ 20	263,900	128,600	
≥ 50	33,600	86,200	
≥ 100	19,600	64,100	
≥ 200	0	0	1 5 4 30

Overflight	(0-7000 ft) contour map
TO EXCLOSE THE SECTION	T 200 X 1 200 X 1 200 X 3


Aircraft Noise Events					
Doto	Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day		N65 events contour map		
Rate	Baseline	Option K			
≥1	237,300	144,800			
≥ 5	57,800	93,400			
≥ 10	45,400	85,600			
≥ 20	41,600	41,600	气量的特别数字在2000年2000年2000年2000年2000年2000年2000年200		
≥ 50	31,400	30,900			
≥ 100	27,100	27,300			
≥ 200	0	0	- 5 a p n m p		

Noise Exposures				
Population count	Baseline	Option K	Partial LOAEL contour map	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L <sub>den</sub> )	62,000	110,800		
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub> )	31,100	31,300		

Noise Exposure Change				
Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map
Partial LOAEL	of which 0 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	31,100	200  (of which 200 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	# 1.60 General Note  # 1.60 Ge



## **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 09L Option K (Night)**






23:00 - 07:00


		_
Aircraft	MOISE	HVANTS
Allelait	140136	

Data	Population experiencing noise events above N60 each day			
Rate	Baseline	Option K		
≥1	131,400	164,600		
≥ 5	46,500	80,500		
≥ 10	0	0		
≥ 20	0	0		
≥ 50	0	0		
≥ 100	0	0		
≥ 200	0	0		



NIO	$\sim$				-
INO	ISE	Exp	บบร	uı	<b>US</b>

		TOIGG EX	ı
Population count	Baseline	Option K	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L <sub>night</sub> )	46,600	55,700	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub> )	31,500	31,500	



Noise	Evn	OSLIFA	Chan	a
1401150		USIIIE	V.II.	

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL
Partial LOAEL	(of which 0 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	31,500	0 (of which 0 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)





