



## AIRSPACE MODERNISATION AIRSPACE CHANGE PROPOSAL

## **STEP 2B INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL**

**APPENDIX C** 

**VECTORED ARRIVALS** PART 6





### **Table of Contents**

1.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27R	3
2.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27R Option H	4
3.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27R Option I	8
4.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27R Option J	12
5.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27R Option K	16

All airspace design options in this document are subject to change throughout the airspace change process, as options are matured in detail and refined in accordance with safety requirements, design principles, appraisals and stakeholder engagement and consultation.

## **Initial Options Appraisal**

## **Vectored Arrivals**

Runway 27R



All airspace design options in this document are subject to change throughout the airspace change process, as options are matured in detail and refined in accordance with safety requirements, design principles, appraisals and stakeholder engagement and consultation.

Version 1.0 (July 2023)





## Vectored Arrivals – RWY 27R Option H

### **Option Description**

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 27R Final Approach joining points between 15 and 19nm.



## Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 16h)	715,500	+145,300
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 8h)	914,000	+102,300
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	1,539,400	-1,652,200
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	2,267,900	-836,800

### **Communities - Air Quality**

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact			
Metric	Option Value		
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.		

### Wider Society – Tranquillity & Biodiversity

	-	
Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0- 7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	144km <sup>2</sup>	+120km <sup>2</sup>
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	0km <sup>2</sup>	No change
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km <sup>2</sup>	0km²
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

### Wider Society – Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

#### **General Aviation – Access**

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.



General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity	General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn	
No economic effect expected on GA operations.	Change in FuelNot able to quantifyBurn (comparedat this time, owing to	
Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.	to the Baseline - uncertainty in new annual - tonnes) stack locations.	
This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.	Commercial Airlines – Other costs None identified.	
Commercial Airlines – Training costs	Airport/ANSP – Operational costs	
Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.	This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.	
Airport/ANSP – Infrastructure costs	Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise	
No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.	insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the	
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs	airport.	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.		
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs		
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	Adherence to AMS	
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b>	Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole	
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b> No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be	Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A consistently longer final	
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b> No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.	Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected	

### Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY27R Option H

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.





## CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27R Option H (Day)



07:00 - 23:00

Overflight					
Data	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map		
Rate	Baseline	Option H			
≥1	7,318,500	3,545,900			
≥ 5	5,318,700	3,025,900			
≥ 10	4,371,500	2,583,200			
≥ 20	3,320,800	2,036,500			
≥ 50	1,498,900	1,185,200			
≥ 100	360,600	635,900	Constant March Martin		
≥ 200	209,400	441,700			

**Aircraft Noise Events** 

Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day		
Rale	Baseline	Option H
≥1	3,191,600	1,539,400
≥ 5	1,235,700	949,200
≥ 10	726,400	848,800
≥ 20	339,500	535,800
≥ 50	170,200	171,900
≥ 100	83,900	83,300
≥ 200	70,000	70,100

### Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option H	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L <sub>den</sub> )	3,163,500	2,226,400	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub> )	570,200	715,500	

### Noise Exposure Change

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map
Partial LOAEL	<b>3,600</b> (of which 3,600 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)		<b>184,600</b> (of which 148,900 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	



## CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27R Option H (Night)

	Overflight				
Rate	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map		
Rale	Baseline	Option H			
≥1	4,354,100	2,605,700			
≥ 5	1,603,900	1,242,600			
10	542,400	712,300			
20	214,900	434,800			
: 50	0	0			
100	0	0	and the 28 - Martin -		
200	0	0			

K

Heathrow

**Aircraft Noise Events** 

Pata		ng noise events above ach day
Rate	Baseline	Option H
≥1	3,104,600	2,267,900
≥ 5	1,210,300	1,338,700
≥ 10	889,700	1,041,800
≥ 20	330,500	468,800
≥ 50	0	0
≥ 100	0	0
≥ 200	0	0

Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option H	Partial LOAEL contour map	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L <sub>night</sub> )	2,208,300	1,825,600		
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub> )	811,700	914,000		

**Noise Exposure Change** 

Change in Noise	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or	Population experiencing no change in noise	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or	Change in noise exposure map
Exposure	brought out of partial LOAEL	exposure within partial LOAEL	brought into partial LOAEL	
Partial LOAEL	<b>10,700</b> (of which 10,700 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	735,300	<b>178,600</b> (of which 112,900 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	4.4 Biologica Market 4.4 Biologica Market



## Vectored Arrivals – RWY 27R Option I

### **Option Description**

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 27R Final Approach joining points between 16 and 20nm.



## Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 16h)	728,200	+158,000
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 8h)	922,200	+110,500
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	1,384,900	-1,806,700
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	2,059,500	-1,045,200

### **Communities - Air Quality**

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact				
Metric Option Value				
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.			

### Wider Society – Tranquillity & Biodiversity

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0- 7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	152km <sup>2</sup>	+128km <sup>2</sup>
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	0km²	No change
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km <sup>2</sup>	0km <sup>2</sup>
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

### Wider Society – Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

### **General Aviation – Access**

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.



General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity	General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn		
No economic effect expected on GA operations.	Change in FuelNot able to quantifyBurn (comparedat this time, owing to		
Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.	to the Baseline - annual - tonnes) uncertainty in new stack locations.		
This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.	None identified.		
Commercial Airlines – Training costs	Airport/ANSP – Operational costs		
Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.	This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.		
Airport/ANSP – Infrastructure costs	Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise		
No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.	insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the		
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs	airport.		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.			
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs			
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	Adherence to AMS		
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b>	Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole		
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b> No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be	Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A consistently longer final		
deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b> No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.	Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected		

### Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY27R Option I

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.





## CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27R Option I (Day)

		0
Rate	Population Overflown	
Raie	Baseline	Option I
≥1	7,318,500	3,178,000
≥ 5	5,318,700	2,808,000
≥ 10	4,371,500	2,427,700
≥ 20	3,320,800	2,021,800
≥ 50	1,498,900	1,074,500
≥ 100	360,600	599,600
≥ 200	209,400	461,300

### **Aircraft Noise Events**

Rate	Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day	
Rale	Baseline	Option I
≥1	3,191,600	1,384,900
≥ 5	1,235,700	948,900
≥ 10	726,400	861,000
≥ 20	339,500	558,600
≥ 50	170,200	171,900
≥ 100	83,900	83,300
≥ 200	70,000	70,100

#### **Noise Exposures**

			I contract the second se
Population count	Baseline	Option I	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L <sub>den</sub> )	3,163,500	2,155,300	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub> )	570,200	728,200	

#### **Noise Exposure Change**

	Noise Exposure onange					
Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of	experiencing no change in noise exposure within	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into	Change in noise exposure map		
Exposure	partial LOAEL	partial LOAEL	partial LOAEL			
Partial LOAEL	<b>3,600</b> (of which 3,600 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	530,800	<b>197,300</b> (of which 161,600 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)			



## CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27R Option I (Night)

Overflight				
Population		Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map	
Rate Base	Baseline	Option I		
≥1	4,354,100	2,520,100		
≥ 5	1,603,900	1,104,600		
10	542,400	667,100		
20	214,900	453,700		
50	0	0		
L00	0	0	The second s	
200	0	0		

**Aircraft Noise Events** 

Data	Population experiencing noise events at N60 each day	
Rate	Baseline	Option I
≥1	3,104,600	2,059,500
≥ 5	1,210,300	1,328,300
≥ 10	889,700	1,058,900
≥ 20	330,500	469,400
≥ 50	0	0
≥ 100	0	0
≥ 200	0	0

**Noise Exposures** 

Population count	Baseline	Option I	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L <sub>night</sub> )	2,208,300	1,771,100	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub> )	811,700	922,200	

Noise Exposure Change					
Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map	
Partial LOAEL	<b>10,700</b> (of which 10,700 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	735,800	<b>186,400</b> (of which 121,200 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	<ul> <li>4 di Oleva site</li> <li></li></ul>	

## Heathrow



## Vectored Arrivals – RWY 27R Option J

### **Option Description**

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 27R Final Approach joining points between 17 and 21nm.



# Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 16h)	742,800	+172,600
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 8h)	944,000	+132,300
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	1,282,700	-1,908,900
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	1,942,000	-1,162,700

### **Communities - Air Quality**

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact				
Metric Option Value				
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.			

### Wider Society – Tranquillity & Biodiversity

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0- 7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	130km <sup>2</sup>	+106km <sup>2</sup>
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	0km <sup>2</sup>	No change
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km <sup>2</sup>	0km <sup>2</sup>
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

### Wider Society – Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

### **General Aviation – Access**

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.



General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity	General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn		
No economic effect expected on GA operations.	Change in FuelNot able to quantifyBurn (comparedat this time, owing to		
Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.	to the Baseline - annual - tonnes) stack locations.		
This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.	Commercial Airlines – Other costs None identified.		
Commercial Airlines – Training costs	Airport/ANSP – Operational costs		
Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.	This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.		
Airport/ANSP – Infrastructure costs	Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise		
No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.	insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the		
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs	airport.		
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.			
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs			
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.			
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b> No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A consistently longer final		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b> No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected		

### Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY27R Option J

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.





## CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL -SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS **VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27R Option J (Day)**



07:00 - 23:00

Overflight					
Data	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour ma		
Rate	Baseline	Option J			
≥1	7,318,500	2,695,200			
≥ 5	5,318,700	2,299,700			
≥ 10	4,371,500	1,995,800			
≥ 20	3,320,800	1,695,200			
≥ 50	1,498,900	959,300			
≥ 100	360,600	633,800			
≥ 200	209,400	506,900			

### **Aircraft Noise Events**

Rate	Population experienci N65 ea		
Rale	Baseline	Option J	
≥1	3,191,600	1,282,700	
≥ 5	1,235,700	925,900	
≥ 10	726,400	853,400	
≥ 20	339,500	588,500	
≥ 50	170,200	171,900	
≥ 100	83,900	83,300	
≥ 200	70,000	70,100	

### Noise Exposures

Barris Indiana and	Desellers	Outline 1	Desticit OAEL sentements	
Population count	Baseline	Option J	Partial LOAEL contour map	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L <sub>den</sub> )	3,163,500	2,017,400		
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub> )	570,200	742,800		

### **Noise Exposure Change**

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map
Partial LOAEL	<b>3,600</b> (of which 3,600 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	530,500	<b>212,200</b> (of which 174,500 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	<ul> <li></li></ul>



## CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27R Option J (Night)

Overflight				
Data	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map	
Rate –	Baseline	Option J		
≥1	4,354,100	2,037,400	A STANK ALEMENT AND THE	
5	1,603,900	1,025,400		
L <b>O</b>	542,400	669,100		
20	214,900	504,100		
50	0	0		
L00	0	0	and the second second second	
00	0	0		

### **Aircraft Noise Events**

Rate	Population experiencing noise events abo N60 each day	
Rale	Baseline	Option J
≥1	3,104,600	1,942,000
≥ 5	1,210,300	1,293,700
≥ 10	889,700	1,067,700
≥ 20	330,500	469,400
≥ 50	0	0
≥ 100	0	0
≥ 200	0	0

### Noise Exposures

			•
Population count	Baseline	Option J	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L <sub>night</sub> )	2,208,300	1,717,400	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub> )	811,700	944,100	

#### **Noise Exposure Change**

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of	experiencing no change in noise exposure within	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into	Change in noise exposure map
Partial LOAEL	partial LOAEL <b>11,000</b> (of which 11,000 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	partial LOAEL	of which (of which 135,200 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	1.0 mp a m 1.0 mp a mp



## Vectored Arrivals – RWY 27R Option K

### **Option Description**

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 27R Final Approach joining points between 18 and 22nm.



# Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 16h)	751,500	+181,300
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA <sub>eq</sub> , 8h)	950,400	+138,700
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	880,800	-2,310,800
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	1,739,600	-1,365,100

### **Communities - Air Quality**

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact			
Metric	Option Value		
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.		

### Wider Society – Tranquillity & Biodiversity

	-	
Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0- 7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	101km <sup>2</sup>	+77km <sup>2</sup>
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	0km <sup>2</sup>	No change
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km <sup>2</sup>	0km²
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

### Wider Society – Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

### **General Aviation – Access**

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.



General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity	General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn	
No economic effect expected on GA operations.	Change in FuelNot able to quantifyBurn (comparedat this time, owing to	
Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.	to the Baseline - annual - tonnes) uncertainty in new stack locations.	
This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.	None identified.	
Commercial Airlines – Training costs	Airport/ANSP – Operational costs	
Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.	This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.	
Airport/ANSP – Infrastructure costs	Option may lead to a change in the	
No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.	number of properties eligible for the noise insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the	
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs	airport.	
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	airport.	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs	airport.	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	Adherence to AMS	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b> No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A consistently longer final	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. <b>Safety</b> No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected	

### Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY27R Option K

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.





## CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27R Option K (Day)



07:00 - 23:00

Overflight						
Rate	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map			
	Baseline	Option K				
≥1	7,318,500	2,234,600				
≥ 5	5,318,700	1,973,000				
≥ 10	4,371,500	1,705,700				
≥ 20	3,320,800	1,394,400				
≥ 50	1,498,900	872,500				
≥ 100	360,600	656,500				
≥ 200	209,400	542,800				

**Aircraft Noise Events** 

Pata	Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day	
Rate	Baseline	Option K
≥1	3,191,600	880,800
≥ 5	1,235,700	862,400
≥ 10	726,400	808,100
≥ 20	339,500	570,400
≥ 50	170,200	171,900
≥ 100	83,900	83,300
≥ 200	70,000	70,100

### Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option K	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L <sub>den</sub> )	3,163,500	1,963,200	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L <sub>Aeq,16h</sub> )	570,200	751,500	

#### **Noise Exposure Change** Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL opulation experiencing Population Change in Change in noise exposure map at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or experiencing no Noise change in noise brought out of partial LOAEL exposure within Exposure 221,000 3,600 (of which Partial (of which 3,600 530,500 176,900 brought LOAEL brought out of into Partial Partial LOAEL LOAEL by by Option) Option)



## CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27R Option K (Night)

Overflight			
Population (		Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map
Rate	Baseline	Option K	
≥1	4,354,100	1,778,700	
≥ 5	1,603,900	889,700	
≥ 10	542,400	675,400	
20	214,900	536,400	
50	0	0	
100	0	0	New Providence
200	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

**Aircraft Noise Events** 

Pata		Population experiencing noise events above N60 each day	
Rale	Rate Baseline Option K		
≥1	3,104,600	1,739,600	
≥ 5	1,210,300	1,304,900	
≥ 10	889,700	1,070,200	
≥ 20	330,500	469,400	
≥ 50	0	0	
≥ 100	0	0	
≥ 200	0	0	

Noise Exposures

			<u>.</u>
Population count	Baseline	Option K	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L <sub>night</sub> )	2,208,300	1,688,600	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L <sub>Aeq,8h</sub> )	811,700	950,400	

Population experiencing no change in noise Population experiencing within partial LOAEL or Change in no

Change in Noise	at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or	experiencing no change in noise	at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map
Exposure	brought out of partial LOAEL	exposure within partial LOAEL		
Partial LOAEL	<b>11,100</b> (of which 11,100 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)		215,000 (of which 136,400 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	<ul> <li>In the second sec</li></ul>

