



AIRSPACE MODERNISATION AIRSPACE CHANGE PROPOSAL

STEP 2B **INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL**

APPENDIX C

VECTORED **ARRIVALS PART 3**





Table of Contents

1.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27L	3
2.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27L Option H	4
<i>3</i> .	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27L Option I	8
4.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27L Option J	12
5.	Initial Options Appraisal - Runway 27L Option K	16

All airspace design options in this document are subject to change throughout the airspace change process, as options are matured in detail and refined in accordance with safety requirements, design principles, appraisals and stakeholder engagement and consultation.

Initial Options Appraisal

Vectored Arrivals

Runway 27L



All airspace design options in this document are subject to change throughout the airspace change process, as options are matured in detail and refined in accordance with safety requirements, design principles, appraisals and stakeholder engagement and consultation.

Version 1.0 (July 2023)





Vectored Arrivals – RWY 27L Option H

Option Description

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 27L Final Approach joining points between 15 and 19nm.



Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA _{eq} , 16h)	691,600	+145,400
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA _{eq} , 8h)	932,500	+52,300
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	1,525,200	-1,666,300
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	2,154,900	-296,100

Communities - Air Quality

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact			
Metric	Option Value		
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.		

Wider Society – Tranquillity & Biodiversity

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0- 7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	166km ²	+134km ²
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	0km ²	No change
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km ²	Less than 1km ²
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

Wider Society – Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

General Aviation – Access

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.



General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity	General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn	
No economic effect expected on GA operations.	Change in FuelNot able to quantifyBurn (comparedat this time, owing to	
Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.	to the Baseline - annual - tonnes) uncertainty in new stack locations.	
This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.	Commercial Airlines – Other costs None identified.	
Commercial Airlines – Training costs	Airport/ANSP – Operational costs	
Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.	This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.	
Airport/ANSP – Infrastructure costs	Option may lead to a change in the	
No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.	number of properties eligible for the noise insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the	
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs	airport.	
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	airport. Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this.	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN	

Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY27L Option H

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.





CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27L Option H (Day)



07:00 - 23:00

Heathrow

Overflight						
Rate	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map			
Rale	Baseline	Option H				
≥1	7,438,600	3,640,700				
≥ 5	5,415,000	3,160,100				
≥ 10	4,440,400	2,719,500				
≥ 20	3,348,800	2,076,700				
≥ 50	1,528,700	1,230,600				
≥ 100	353,100	602,900				
≥ 200	218,500	441,500				

Aircraft Noise Events

Rate		ng noise events above ach day
Rale	Baseline	Option H
≥1	3,191,500	1,525,200
≥ 5	1,235,200	918,500
≥ 10	693,800	793,100
≥ 20	445,400	668,700
≥ 50	177,500	178,200
≥ 100	105,300	105,700
≥ 200	84,900	86,300

Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option H	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L _{den})	3,160,200	2,140,500	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L _{Aeq,16h})	546,200	691,600	

Noise Exposure Change Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL opulation experiencing Population Change in Change in noise exposure map at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or experiencing no Noise change in noise brought out of partial LOAEL exposure within Exposure 181,900 5,100 (of which Partial (of which 5,100 509,700 150,600 brought LOAEL brought out of into Partial Partial LOAEL LOAEL by by Option) Option) + 1 dB EaseIne — 51 dB Option — 51 dB



CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27L Option H (Night)

Overflight				
Rate -	Population	Overflown		
	Baseline	Option H		
≥1	3,800,500	2,460,100		
≥ 5	1,172,300	907,200		
≥ 10	546,400	584,400		
≥ 20	295,800	478,200		
≥ 50	0	0		
≥ 100	0	0		
≥ 200	0	0		

Aircraft Noise Events

Rate		ng noise events above ach day
Rale	Baseline	Option H
≥1	2,451,100	2,154,900
≥ 5	1,142,200	1,103,400
≥ 10	881,700	970,800
≥ 20	416,800	536,100
≥ 50	0	0
≥ 100	0	0
≥ 200	0	0

Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option H	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L _{night})	1,835,500	1,660,500	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L _{Aeq,8h})	880,200	932,500	

Noise Exposure Change

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map
Partial LOAEL	26,900 (of which 26,700 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	741,900	190,400 (of which 79,000 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	 4.00-соно нателните на постати на поста на постати на постати на постати на постати на постати на постати на по постати на постати на постати на постати на поста



Vectored Arrivals – RWY 27L Option I

Option Description

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 27L Final Approach joining points between 16 and 20nm.



Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA _{eq} , 16h)	702,900	+156,700
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA _{eq} , 8h)	948,000	+67,800
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	1,408,000	-1,783,500
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	1,926,000	-525,000

Communities - Air Quality

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact				
Metric Option Value				
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.			

Wider Society – Tranquillity & Biodiversity

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0- 7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	179km ²	+147km ²
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	0km ²	No change
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km ²	Less than 1km ²
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

Wider Society – Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

General Aviation – Access

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.



General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity	General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn
No economic effect expected on GA operations.	Change in FuelNot able to quantifyBurn (comparedat this time, owing to
Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.	to the Baseline - annual - tonnes) uncertainty in new stack locations.
This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.	Commercial Airlines – Other costs None identified.
Commercial Airlines – Training costs	Airport/ANSP – Operational costs
Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.	This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.
Airport/ANSP – Infrastructure costs	Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise
No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.	insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs	airport.
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety	airport.
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is

Outcome of Vectored Arrival RWY27L Option I

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.





CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27L Option I (Day)

		Ov
Rate	Population	Overflown
Raie	Baseline	Option I
≥1	7,438,600	3,295,800
5	5,415,000	2,825,500
10	4,440,400	2,513,000
0	3,348,800	2,114,700
50	1,528,700	1,083,900
.00	353,100	558,300
200	218,500	460,400

Aircraft Noise Events

Rate		Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day Baseline Option I	
Rale	Baseline		
≥1	3,191,500	1,408,000	
≥ 5	1,235,200	874,200	
≥ 10	693,800	779,200	
≥ 20	445,400	698,300	
≥ 50	177,500	178,200	
≥ 100	105,300	105,700	
≥ 200	84,900	86,300	

Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option I	Partial LOAEL contour map
Population count	Daseime	Option	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L _{den})	3,160,200	2,046,100	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L _{Aeq,16h})	546,200	702,900	

Noise Exposure Change

Change in Noise	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into	Change in noise exposure map
Exposure	partial LOAEL 5,100	partial LOAEL	193,800 (of which	
Partial LOAEL	(of which 5,100 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	509,100	161,800 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	



CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27L Option I (Night)

		(Overflight
Data	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map
Rate -	Baseline	Option I	EANSING LAND PROVIDE
≥1	3,800,500	2,521,600	
≥ 5	1,172,300	818,800	
10	546,400	605,400	
20	295,800	495,000	
50	0	0	
.00	0	0	The state of the s
00	0	0	

Aircraft Noise Events

Pata	Population experiencing noise events above N60 each day		
Rate	Baseline	Option I	
≥1	2,451,100	1,926,000	
≥ 5	1,142,200	1,117,200	
≥ 10	881,700	977,800	
≥ 20	416,800	552,700	
≥ 50	0	0	
≥ 100	0	0	
≥ 200	0	0	

Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option I	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L _{night})	1,835,500	1,663,800	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L _{Aeq,8h})	880,200	948,000	

Noise Exposure Change

Change in Noise	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into	Change in noise exposure map
Exposure	partial LOAEL	partial LOAEL	partial LOAEL	
	28,800		209,400	
Partial LOAEL	(of which 28,600 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	738,400	(of which 96,400 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	4 - 16 Officer a line



Vectored Arrivals – RWY 27L Option J

Option Description

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 27L Final Approach joining points between 17 and 21nm.



Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA _{eq} , 16h)	712,600	+166,400
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA _{eq} , 8h)	955,500	+75,300
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	1,208,800	-1,982,700
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	1,263,900	-1,187,100

Communities - Air Quality

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact			
Metric	Option Value		
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.		

Wider Society – Tranquillity & Biodiversity

	-	
Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0- 7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	159km ²	+127km ²
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	0km ²	No change
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km ²	Less than 1km ²
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

Wider Society – Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

General Aviation – Access

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.



General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity	General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn		
No economic effect expected on GA operations.	Change in FuelNot able to quantifyBurn (comparedat this time, owing to		
Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.	to the Baseline - annual - tonnes) stack locations.		
This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.	Commercial Airlines – Other costs None identified.		
Commercial Airlines – Training costs	Airport/ANSP – Operational costs		
Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.	This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.		
Airport/ANSP – Infrastructure costs	Option may lead to a change in the		
No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.	number of properties eligible for the noise insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the		
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs	airport.		
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	airport.		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs	airport.		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	airport. Adherence to AMS		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.			
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is		

utcome of Vectored Arrival RWY tion J

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.



CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27L Option J (Day)



07:00 - 23:00

Rate	Population Overflown	
Raie	Baseline	Option J
≥1	7,438,600	2,716,600
≥ 5	5,415,000	2,271,500
≥ 10	4,440,400	1,977,000
≥ 20	3,348,800	1,664,900
≥ 50	1,528,700	901,200
≥ 100	353,100	605,800
≥ 200	218,500	483,200

Aircraft Noise Events

Rate	Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day		
Raie	Baseline	Option J	
≥1	3,191,500	1,208,800	
≥ 5	1,235,200	842,600	
≥ 10	693,800	777,200	
≥ 20	445,400	707,900	
≥ 50	177,500	178,200	
≥ 100	105,300	105,700	
≥ 200	84,900	86,300	

Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option J	Partial LOAEL contour map	
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L _{den})	3,160,200	1,896,900		
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L _{Aeq,16h})	546,200	712,600		

Noise Exposure Change Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL opulation experiencing Population Change in Change in noise exposure map at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or experiencing no Noise change in noise brought out of partial LOAEL exposure within partial LOAEL Exposure 203,600 5,100 (of which Partial (of which 5,100 509,000 171,500 brought LOAEL brought out of into Partial Partial LOAEL LOAEL by by Option) Option) + 1 dB EaseIne — 51 dB Option — 51 dB

CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS

VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27L Option J (Night) Overflight Overflight Population Overflown Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map



23:00 - 07:00

Heathrow

≥1	3,800,500	1,957,400	X
≥ 5	1,172,300	818,800	
≥ 10	546,400	615,700	
≥ 20	295,800	519,200	
≥ 50	0	0	
≥ 100	0	0	
≥ 200	0	0	1 5

Baseline

.

Option J

Rate

Aircraft Noise Events

Pato	Population experiencing noise events above N60 each day		
Rate	Baseline	Option J	
≥1	2,451,100	1,263,900	
≥ 5	1,142,200	1,074,700	
≥ 10	881,700	983,700	
≥ 20	416,800	555,500	
≥ 50	0	0	
≥ 100	0	0	
≥ 200	0	0	

Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option J	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L _{night})	1,835,500	1,480,700	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L _{Aeq,8h})	880,200	955,500	

Noise Exposure Change

Change in Noise	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or	experiencing no change in noise	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or	Change in noise exposure map		
Exposure	brought out of partial LOAEL	exposure within partial LOAEL	brought into partial LOAEL			
Partial LOAEL	28,700 (of which 28,500 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	743,300	212,000 (of which 103,700 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	 In the set of the se		



Vectored Arrivals – RWY 27L Option K

Option Description

This option has a vectoring area with Runway 27L Final Approach joining points between 18 and 22nm.



Communities – Noise impact on health & quality of life

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Population above Partial LOAEL (daytime, LA _{eq} , 16h)	714,000	+167,800
Population above Partial LOAEL (night-time, LA _{eq} , 8h)	964,000	+83,800
Population experiencing at least one event of N65 (daytime)	1,061,800	-2,129,700
Population experiencing at least one event of N60 (night-time)	1,543,600	-907,400

Communities - Air Quality

As there is no change to track distribution below 1000ft, there is no effect on Air Quality from this option.

Wider Society – Greenhouse Gas Impact			
Metric Option Value			
Overall Track Miles of the option (nm)	Not possible to assess at this time, owing to uncertainty in new stack locations.		

Wider Society – Tranquillity & Biodiversity

Metric	Option Value	Difference to Baseline
Total Area of AONBs/National Parks (NPs) overflown between 0- 7000ft once a day on average (daytime)	115km ²	+83km ²
Total Area of AONBs/NPs overflown experiencing at least one event of N65 on average (daytime)	0km ²	No change
Total Area of Richmond Park overflown between 0-7000ft at least once a day on average (daytime)	0km ²	Less than 1km ²
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 1640ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change
Number of sites (RAMSAR, SAC, SPA, SSSI) overflown between 0- 3000ft which observe a potential change in location overflown	0	No change

Wider Society – Capacity/Resilience

The ability to constrain the vectoring area to joining final approach to within just a 4nm window is untested at Heathrow. There is a chance that the loss of flexibility could result in a degradation in landing rate, as an over delivery of arrivals will result in needing to extend arrival beyond the 4nm swathe. Assuming that can be managed or occasional excursions from the small vectoring area is allowed, running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.

Heathrow's capacity for this ACP is limited by the existing 480,000 movement cap.

General Aviation – Access

No additional CAS envisaged.

Option would not facilitate the release of CAS.



General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Economic impact from increased effective capacity	General Aviation / Commercial Airlines – Fuel Burn		
No economic effect expected on GA operations.	Change in FuelNot able to quantifyBurn (comparedat this time, owing to		
Running a longer final approach could start to degrade the ability to consistently provide optimal spacing. This is due to the requirement to maintain more active/restrictive speed control on final approach, than on base-leg.	to the Baseline - annual - tonnes) stack locations.		
This will be verified and quantified in Stage 3, should this option be favourable from an environmental and/or design perspective.	None identified.		
Commercial Airlines – Training costs	Airport/ANSP – Operational costs		
Option does not require any re-equipage or upgrade costs for airlines. No training costs required for airlines.	This option is not anticipated to change airport or ANSP operational costs.		
Airport/ANSP – Infrastructure costs	Option may lead to a change in the number of properties eligible for the noise		
No changes to infrastructure costs envisaged.	insulation scheme which could lead to a change in operational costs for the		
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs	airport.		
Airport/ANSP – Deployment costs There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.			
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs			
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	airport. Adherence to AMS		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options.	airport.		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals is not expected to achieve this. A		
There will be considerable costs associated with deployment in terms of operational training and system upgrades which will be quantified in Stage 3. However, there is not expected to be any differences in these costs between the different options. Safety No IFP Design issues identified. Although new or revised safety assurances may be needed, an acceptable safety argument is envisaged to be achievable.	Adherence to AMS Supports the AMS by enabling an efficient flow of traffic, accommodating demand & providing system resilience, where a sole reliance on PBN Arrivals		

come of Vectored Arrival RV

All vectored arrival options have been retained into Stage 3 to allow us to determine if it would be beneficial and/or feasible to use different vectoring areas during different periods to provide respite or relief from noise. This will be informed by our Concept work during Stage 3 system assembly.



CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27L Option K (Day)

		0	verflight
Data	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map
Rate	Baseline	Option K	
≥1	7,438,600	2,245,000	
≥ 5	5,415,000	1,903,500	
≥ 10	4,440,400	1,603,000	
20	3,348,800	1,283,800	
: 50	1,528,700	825,300	
100	353,100	633,700	
200	218,500	515,400	

Aircraft Noise Events

Pata	Population experiencing noise events above N65 each day	
Rate	Baseline	Option K
≥1	3,191,500	1,061,800
≥ 5	1,235,200	848,200
≥ 10	693,800	780,500
≥ 20	445,400	721,300
≥ 50	177,500	178,200
≥ 100	105,300	105,700
≥ 200	84,900	86,400

Noise Exposures

			•
Population count	Baseline	Option K	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>45 dB L _{den})	3,160,200	1,849,000	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>51 dB L _{Aeq,16h})	546,200	714,000	

Noise Exposure Change

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map
Partial LOAEL	5,100 (of which 5,100 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)		205,100 (of which 172,200 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	



CAP1616 - INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL – SUPPLEMENTARY METRICS VECTOR Arrivals – RWY 27L Option K (Night)

		O	verflight
Doto	Population	Overflown	Overflight (0-7000 ft) contour map
Rate	Baseline	Option K	
≥1	3,800,500	1,540,000	
≥ 5	1,172,300	796,100	
≥ 10	546,400	631,400	
20	295,800	546,800	
50	0	0	
100	0	0	
200	0	0	

Aircraft Noise Events

Pato		n experiencing noise events above N60 each day	
Rate	Baseline	Option K	
≥1	2,451,100	1,543,600	
≥ 5	1,142,200	1,166,400	
≥ 10	881,700	1,011,500	
≥ 20	416,800	555,500	
≥ 50	0	0	
≥ 100	0	0	
≥ 200	0	0	

Noise Exposures

Population count	Baseline	Option K	Partial LOAEL contour map
Estimated total population above WHO Threshold (>40 dB L _{night})	1,835,500	1,643,400	
Total population within Partial LOAEL (>45 dB L _{Aeq,8h})	880,200	964,000	

Noise Exposure Change

Change in Noise Exposure	Population experiencing at least 1 dB reduction within partial LOAEL or brought out of partial LOAEL	Population experiencing no change in noise exposure within partial LOAEL	Population experiencing at least 1 dB increase within partial LOAEL or brought into partial LOAEL	Change in noise exposure map
Partial LOAEL	28,700 (of which 28,500 brought out of Partial LOAEL by Option)	743,200	220,500 (of which 104,600 brought into Partial LOAEL by Option)	 4 Offense Met 4 Offens

