

INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL		Reason for Category	
KEY	Meaning	Less attractive to stakeholders; increased noise exposure versus SD 09 CORSA Option 3 as more people are overflown and significant difference in track miles flown. Taken forward to provide alternative to other options which is SD 09 CORSA Option 3.	Less attractive, greater track miles and more people overflown however this option is preferred as an alternative when MAM using Runway 05.
Carry Forward	Meets objectives, insignificant impact, and is the Preferred Option for this procedure		
Reject	Fails to meet one or more objectives or has a significant impact that cannot be effectively mitigated		
Impact	Level of Analysis	High-level Appraisal for the introduction of PBN/NAV	SD 09 CORSA Option 2
Group	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	In general RNAV procedures are predicted to reduce noise exposure versus extant conventional procedures due to the reduction in track miles flown. However, it is not always possible to deliver these characteristics with option has been assessed to determine whether noise is reduced through these measures. The assessment also assessed the implications of commercial aircraft operations in the vicinity of the runway, and the impact of noise on the environment and residential areas. Consideration of the airside and flight profile (below 7000ft) has also been included.	Flown at optimum aircraft performance, minimum noise. Overflown Hain Primary School after departure, 1.1 km on the extended runway extension, and schools in Widnes. Increases continuous climb but over residential areas of Widnes, Hayton and Liverpool.
Communities	Noise impact on health and quality of life	Flown at optimum aircraft performance, minimum noise. The procedure overflies Hain Primary School after departure, but this is unavoidable as the school is 1.1 km on the extended runway extension. This option represents the minimum number of people overflown versus option 1 and 2.	Flown at optimum aircraft performance, minimum noise. The procedure passes over Hain Primary School after departure, but this is unavoidable as the school is 1.1 km on the extended runway extension. This option represents the minimum number of people overflown versus other options. The procedure overflies residential areas of Crosby and Liverpool in the vicinity of a number of schools and close to hospitals, but aircraft will be at above 3,000 ft in the vicinity of the airport, so will have lower power settings. Routing is planned over industrial areas and close to the motorway, with higher ambient noise. The procedure has been designed to enable a more continuous descent but height restrictions at NERW do mean the descent profile flown is not optimum. Only one option available to provide most direct route and to comply with low structure route - FAS(N).
Communities	Air Quality	Most of the area around LEA is within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and the airport has partnered with Liverpool City Council (LCC) to measure AQ for over 10 years. Engagement to date with environmental health authorities at Halton Borough Council and LCC suggests that no changes are expected as changes to the baseline are expected before 2000R for any of the options, therefore no change in air quality is predicted. Aircraft currently descend below 1000R on final approach/commercial arrival orientation, and aircraft depart up to 1000R on the same track as they do currently. One of the stated benefits of the introduction of RNAV procedures is reduced environmental impact due to part to continuous climb descent. It is predicted that the initial climb/final approach segments of flight will be the same as extant procedures but this will be treated during the full options appraisal in order to quantify any air quality.	No change to baseline
Wider Society	Greenhouse Gas Impact	Reduced environmental impact is one of the benefits listed by ICAO in introducing PBN, and RNAV flight procedures. The Options have been assessed individually to determine whether they have the potential to minimise emissions through optimum aircraft configuration (engine power settings), use of continuous climb/descent profiles, utilisation of shorter practical routes etc. In general, the introduction of RNAV flight procedures is predicted to reduce the environmental impact over extant ground/equipment based navigation procedures.	Most direct route to TEMP2 incorporating continuous climb profile versus minimum emissions.
Wider Society	Capacity and resilience	Generally, the introduction of PBN is based on delivering benefits in terms of increasing airspace capacity leading to more predictable routes, fewer en-route and in-air delays experienced by airlines. The completion of the entire route from airport to destination via PBN is currently the highest priority for the global aviation community.	The procedure has been designed to integrate with the en-route structure.
General Aviation	Access	No change to existing airspace arrangements. Procedure wholly contained within extant CAZ. No change to GA users of LEA. GA users of LEA will continue to arrive and depart under extant operational arrangements.	No change to existing airspace arrangements. Procedure wholly contained within extant CAZ. No change to GA users of LEA. GA users of LEA will continue to arrive and depart under extant operational arrangements.
General Aviation/Commercial Airlines	Economic Impact from increased effective capacity	Generally, the introduction of PBN is based on delivering benefits in terms of increasing airspace capacity leading to more predictable routes, fewer en-route and in-air delays experienced by airlines. This may have an economic benefit to airlines in the context of better aircraft utilisation, transport movements, passenger numbers and cargo tonnage carried. It is not proportionate for LIA to predict the precise economic benefit to commercial airlines using the new procedures as any increase in individual airline capacity will depend on private commercial business characteristics. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess the economic benefit to the GA community however they are expected to benefit from increased predictability of commercial aircraft movements which is predicted to lead to reduced on-ground and in-air delays for all users which may have a positive impact on GA costs.	This is a PBN/NAV procedure and contributes to the delivery of associated benefits including increased effective capacity which is predicted to have direct and indirect economic benefits for airlines and general aviation.
General Aviation/Commercial Airlines	Fuel Burn	Each option has been assessed against other options based on whether any factors of the design might contribute to increased fuel burn. The introduction of RNAV procedures and associated predictability of routes, continuous climb/descent, reduction in track miles flown is predicted to result in reduced fuel burn versus the baseline.	Track Length 11.3NM Shorter Track Length leads to right hand turn south direct to TEMP2, continuous climb enables optimum aircraft performance minimising fuel burn. Note that TEMP2 is a slightly displaced waypoint alternative to CORSA to place the end of the procedure inside LEA airspace (CORSA is the fixed enroute entry point just outside LEA airspace boundary).
Commercial Airlines	Training costs	It is expected that Pilot/Crew Training will be required to enable pilots to fly the new RNAV procedures. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess training costs for individual commercial airlines due to the significant variables involved (see General Appraisal of PBN/NAV).	It is expected that Pilot/Crew Training will be required to enable pilots to fly the new RNAV procedures. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess training costs for individual commercial airlines due to the significant variables involved (see General Appraisal of PBN/NAV).
Commercial Airlines	Other costs	Other costs to commercial airlines may include updates to Flight Management Systems (FMS), navigation databases and operating procedures, increased pilot time costs versus training etc. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess the 'other costs' to commercial airlines of flying RNAV procedures due to significant variables, some airlines may already be 'PBN ready' whereas others may not.	Other costs to commercial airlines may include updates to Flight Management Systems (FMS), navigation databases and operating procedures, increased pilot time costs versus training etc. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess the 'other costs' to commercial airlines of flying RNAV procedures due to significant variables, some airlines may already be 'PBN ready' whereas others may not.
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Infrastructure costs	All options relate to the implementation of PBN and no additional infrastructure is required. The introduction of PBN reduces the reliance on infrastructure, in particular ground based navigation aids are no longer needed. The foundation for PBN is 'area navigation', or RNAV, aircraft arriving and departing LEA using the proposed RNAV procedures will do so based on their performance based navigation capability.	No additional infrastructure required (see High Level Appraisal of PBN/NAV).
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Operational costs	ICAO list Improved Operational Efficiency as a benefit delivered by the introduction of PBN. In general LIA predicts that operations will be improved and there may be potential for a net reduction in operational costs. It is expected that any change in operational costs will be the same regardless of which option is chosen. This will be considered further at Full Options Appraisal stage.	Operational Costs are not predicted to vary by individual option.
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Deployment costs	Deployment costs are attributable to the introduction of PBN/NAV procedures rather than the individual options themselves. Costs will include ATCO training and competency (based on understanding aircraft performance and ATC procedures relating to RNAV), Airside documentation and procedures updates (e.g. MATS P2 updates, chart updates) to CA, Procedure Validation and Separation Costs.	Deployment costs are not predicted to vary by individual option.
Safety Assessment	Safety Assessment	One benefit of the introduction of PBN is the improvement in safety and in fact CDA declare it is one of the primary reasons for a state to implement PBN. An individual safety assessment has been carried out for each option in the general LIA to introduce RNAV approaches delivers a safety benefit to the airport and its users.	Not Significant MAP conflict with other aircraft on 27 Approach managed by hold located at WAL. Conflict with GA traffic managed by containment of IPPs in Controlled Airspace (this is a pre-existing hazard, not unique to this option). Conflict with RNAV procedures managed by coordination with Manchester ACP development.
Communities	Noise impact on health and quality of life	In general RNAV procedures are predicted to reduce noise exposure versus extant conventional procedures due to the reduction in track miles flown. However, it is not always possible to deliver these characteristics with option has been assessed to determine whether noise is reduced through these measures. The assessment also assessed the implications of commercial aircraft operations in the vicinity of the runway, and the impact of noise on the environment and residential areas. Consideration of the airside and flight profile (below 7000ft) has also been included.	Flown at optimum aircraft performance, minimum noise. Overflown Hain Primary School after departure, 1.1 km on the extended runway extension, and schools in Widnes. Increases continuous climb but over residential areas of Widnes, Hayton and Liverpool.
Communities	Air Quality	Most of the area around LEA is within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and the airport has partnered with Liverpool City Council (LCC) to measure AQ for over 10 years. Engagement to date with environmental health authorities at Halton Borough Council and LCC suggests that no changes are expected as changes to the baseline are expected before 2000R for any of the options, therefore no change in air quality is predicted. Aircraft currently descend below 1000R on final approach/commercial arrival orientation, and aircraft depart up to 1000R on the same track as they do currently. One of the stated benefits of the introduction of RNAV procedures is reduced environmental impact due to part to continuous climb descent. It is predicted that the initial climb/final approach segments of flight will be the same as extant procedures but this will be treated during the full options appraisal in order to quantify any air quality.	No change to baseline
Wider Society	Greenhouse Gas Impact	Reduced environmental impact is one of the benefits listed by ICAO in introducing PBN, and RNAV flight procedures. The Options have been assessed individually to determine whether they have the potential to minimise emissions through optimum aircraft configuration (engine power settings), use of continuous climb/descent profiles, utilisation of shorter practical routes etc. In general, the introduction of RNAV flight procedures is predicted to reduce the environmental impact over extant ground/equipment based navigation procedures.	Most direct route to TEMP2 incorporating continuous climb profile versus minimum emissions.
Wider Society	Capacity and resilience	Generally, the introduction of PBN is based on delivering benefits in terms of increasing airspace capacity leading to more predictable routes, fewer en-route and in-air delays experienced by airlines. The completion of the entire route from airport to destination via PBN is currently the highest priority for the global aviation community.	The procedure has been designed to integrate with the en-route structure.
General Aviation	Access	No change to existing airspace arrangements. Procedure wholly contained within extant CAZ. No change to GA users of LEA. GA users of LEA will continue to arrive and depart under extant operational arrangements.	No change to existing airspace arrangements. Procedure wholly contained within extant CAZ. No change to GA users of LEA. GA users of LEA will continue to arrive and depart under extant operational arrangements.
General Aviation/Commercial Airlines	Economic Impact from increased effective capacity	Generally, the introduction of PBN is based on delivering benefits in terms of increasing airspace capacity leading to more predictable routes, fewer en-route and in-air delays experienced by airlines. This may have an economic benefit to airlines in the context of better aircraft utilisation, transport movements, passenger numbers and cargo tonnage carried. It is not proportionate for LIA to predict the precise economic benefit to commercial airlines using the new procedures as any increase in individual airline capacity will depend on private commercial business characteristics. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess the economic benefit to the GA community however they are expected to benefit from increased predictability of commercial aircraft movements which is predicted to lead to reduced on-ground and in-air delays for all users which may have a positive impact on GA costs.	This is a PBN/NAV procedure and contributes to the delivery of associated benefits including increased effective capacity which is predicted to have direct and indirect economic benefits for airlines and general aviation.
General Aviation/Commercial Airlines	Fuel Burn	Each option has been assessed against other options based on whether any factors of the design might contribute to increased fuel burn. The introduction of RNAV procedures and associated predictability of routes, continuous climb/descent, reduction in track miles flown is predicted to result in reduced fuel burn versus the baseline.	Track Length 11.3NM Shorter Track Length leads to right hand turn south direct to TEMP2, continuous climb enables optimum aircraft performance minimising fuel burn. Note that TEMP2 is a slightly displaced waypoint alternative to CORSA to place the end of the procedure inside LEA airspace (CORSA is the fixed enroute entry point just outside LEA airspace boundary).
Commercial Airlines	Training costs	It is expected that Pilot/Crew Training will be required to enable pilots to fly the new RNAV procedures. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess training costs for individual commercial airlines due to the significant variables involved (see General Appraisal of PBN/NAV).	It is expected that Pilot/Crew Training will be required to enable pilots to fly the new RNAV procedures. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess training costs for individual commercial airlines due to the significant variables involved (see General Appraisal of PBN/NAV).
Commercial Airlines	Other costs	Other costs to commercial airlines may include updates to Flight Management Systems (FMS), navigation databases and operating procedures, increased pilot time costs versus training etc. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess the 'other costs' to commercial airlines of flying RNAV procedures due to significant variables, some airlines may already be 'PBN ready' whereas others may not.	Other costs to commercial airlines may include updates to Flight Management Systems (FMS), navigation databases and operating procedures, increased pilot time costs versus training etc. It is not proportionate for LIA to assess the 'other costs' to commercial airlines of flying RNAV procedures due to significant variables, some airlines may already be 'PBN ready' whereas others may not.
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Infrastructure costs	All options relate to the implementation of PBN and no additional infrastructure is required. The introduction of PBN reduces the reliance on infrastructure, in particular ground based navigation aids are no longer needed. The foundation for PBN is 'area navigation', or RNAV, aircraft arriving and departing LEA using the proposed RNAV procedures will do so based on their performance based navigation capability.	No additional infrastructure required (see High Level Appraisal of PBN/NAV).
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Operational costs	ICAO list Improved Operational Efficiency as a benefit delivered by the introduction of PBN. In general LIA predicts that operations will be improved and there may be potential for a net reduction in operational costs. It is expected that any change in operational costs will be the same regardless of which option is chosen. This will be considered further at Full Options Appraisal stage.	Operational Costs are not predicted to vary by individual option.
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Deployment costs	Deployment costs are attributable to the introduction of PBN/NAV procedures rather than the individual options themselves. Costs will include ATCO training and competency (based on understanding aircraft performance and ATC procedures relating to RNAV), Airside documentation and procedures updates (e.g. MATS P2 updates, chart updates) to CA, Procedure Validation and Separation Costs.	Deployment costs are not predicted to vary by individual option.
Safety Assessment	Safety Assessment	One benefit of the introduction of PBN is the improvement in safety and in fact CDA declare it is one of the primary reasons for a state to implement PBN. An individual safety assessment has been carried out for each option in the general LIA to introduce RNAV approaches delivers a safety benefit to the airport and its users.	Not Significant MAP conflict with other aircraft on 27 Approach managed by hold located at WAL. Conflict with GA traffic managed by containment of IPPs in Controlled Airspace (this is a pre-existing hazard, not unique to this option). Conflict with RNAV procedures managed by coordination with Manchester ACP development.

INITIAL OPTIONS APPRAISAL			Reason for Category		
KEY	Carry Forward	Meets objectives, insignificant impact, and is the Preferred Option for this procedure	Original position of MAP and hold did not minimise track miles or noise for sensitive areas. Replaced by post engagement Approach 09 Option 3 with new hold over the sea.	Position and orientation of the hold to keep aircraft over the sea reduces noise for this procedure versus other options.	
Group	Impact	Level of Analysis	High-level Appraisal for the introduction of PBN/RNAV	Approach 09 Option 3	
Communities	Noise impact on health and quality of life	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	In general RNAV procedures are predicted to reduce noise exposure versus extant conventional procedures due to the facilitation of continuous climb/descent profiles and optimum aircraft performance. However, it is not always possible to deliver these characteristics and each option has been assessed to determine whether noise is minimised through these measures. The assessment also assessed the exposure of communities to noise i.e. whether the option minimises overflight of sensitive areas, public spaces and parks, built up environments and residential areas. Consideration of the approach and flight profile (below 7000ft) has also been included.	Flown at optimum aircraft performance and with continuous descent profile to minimise noise. However, this Option flies over, or close to, a number of schools in residential areas of Heswall and Bellingham on final approach. The missed approach procedure routes in the vicinity of a number of schools in Runcom, Warrington, Huyton, Liverpool and Birkenhead, and over or close to hospitals in Prescot and Liverpool, including Alder Hey Children's Hospital, not below 2,500 ft. *Position of the hold does not minimise noise for sensitive areas.	Flown at optimum aircraft performance and with continuous descent profile to minimise noise. The procedure flies over, or close to, a number of schools in residential areas of Heswall and Bellingham on final approach. The missed approach procedure routes in the vicinity of a number of schools in Runcom, Warrington, Huyton, Liverpool and Birkenhead, and over or close to hospitals in Prescot and Liverpool, including Alder Hey Children's Hospital, not below 2,500 ft. The MAP is an emergency procedure rarely used to low probability of noise impact for this element of the procedure. The procedure has been designed to incorporate a continuous descent profile and represents the most direct routing to minimise track miles flown, but overflies residential areas of Runcom, Warrington, Huyton, Liverpool and Birkenhead, not below 2,500 ft. The hold is positioned so aircraft remain over the sea to minimise noise exposure.
Communities	Air Quality	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	Most of the area around LLA is within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and the airport has partnered with Liverpool City Council (LCC) and LCC suggests that no changes are expected as no changes to baseline are expected below 3000ft for any of the options, therefore no change in air quality is predicted. Aircraft currently descend below 3000ft on final approach commensurate with runway orientation; and Aircraft depart up to 3000ft on the same track as they do currently. One of the stated benefits of the introduction of RNAV procedures is reduced environmental impact due in part to continuous climb/descent. It is predicted that the initial climb/ final approach segments of flight will be the same as extant procedures but this will be treated during the full options appraisal in order to quantify any change in air quality.	No change to baseline	No change to baseline
Wider Society	Greenhouse Gas impact	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	Reduced environmental impact is one of the benefits listed by ICAO of introducing PBN, and RNAV flight procedures. The Options have been assessed individually to determine whether they have the potential to minimise emissions through optimum aircraft configuration (engine power settings), use of continuous climb/descent profiles, utilisation of shortest practical routes etc. In general, the introduction of RNAV flight procedures is predicted to reduce environmental impact over extant ground/equipment based navigation procedures.	The procedure incorporates a continuous descent profile, to be flown at optimum aircraft performance and represents the most direct flight path. The Missed Approach Procedure routes the aircraft back to the runway through the approach procedure which is a greater distance than the current hold. The MAP is an emergency 'go-around' procedure seldom used, but by its nature may require maximum engine power setting.	The procedure incorporates a continuous descent profile, to be flown at optimum aircraft performance and represents the most direct flight path. The approach procedure represents the minimum number of track miles flown. Although the hold for the Missed Approach Procedure is further than the current conventional hold position, the MAP is an emergency 'go-around' procedure seldom used, but by its nature may require maximum engine power setting.
Wider Society	Capacity and resilience	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	Generally, the introduction of PBN is based on delivering benefits in terms of increasing airspace capacity leading to more predictable routes, fewer on-ground and in-air delays experienced by airlines. The completion of the entire route from airport to destination via PBN leads to a more effective route structure. The implementation of PBN is currently the highest priority for the global aviation community.	The procedure has been designed to integrate with the en-route structure.	No change to baseline
General Aviation	Access	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	No change to existing airspace arrangements. Procedure wholly contained within extant CAZ. No change to GA access to airspace. GA users of LLA will continue to arrive and depart under extant operational arrangements. Access to the runway may be slightly improved as a reduction in on-ground and in-air delays brought about by the introduction of PBN.	No change to existing airspace arrangements. Procedure wholly contained within extant CAZ. No change to GA access to airspace. GA users of LLA will continue to arrive and depart under extant operational arrangements.	No change to existing airspace arrangements. Procedure wholly contained within extant CAZ. No change to GA access to airspace. GA users of LLA will continue to arrive and depart under extant operational arrangements.
General Aviation / commercial airlines	Economic impact from increased effective capacity	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	Generally, the introduction of PBN is based on delivering benefits in terms of increasing airspace capacity leading to more predictable routes, fewer on-ground and in-air delays experienced by airlines. This may have an economic benefit to airlines in the context of being an enabler for increased air transport movements, passenger numbers and cargo tonnage carried. It is not proportionate for LLA to predict the precise economic benefit to commercial airlines using the new procedures as any increase in individual airline capacity will depend on private commercial business characteristics. It is not proportionate for LLA to assess the economic benefit to the GA community however they are expected to benefit from increased predictability of commercial airline movements which is predicted to lead to reduced on-ground and in-air delays for all users which may have a positive impact on GA costs.	This is a PBN/RNAV procedure and contributes to the delivery of associated benefits including increased effective capacity which is predicted to have direct and indirect economic benefits for airlines and general aviation.	This is a PBN/RNAV procedure and contributes to the delivery of associated benefits including increased effective capacity which is predicted to have direct and indirect economic benefits for airlines and general aviation.
General Aviation / commercial airlines	Fuel burn	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	Each option has been assessed against other options based on whether any factors of the design might contribute to increased fuel burn. In general the introduction of RNAV procedures and associated predictability of tracks, continuous climb/descent, reduction in tactical intervention is predicted to result in reduced fuel burn versus the baseline.	Flown at optimum aircraft performance and with continuous descent profile to minimise fuel burn. Represents shortest route for this procedure.	Flown at optimum aircraft performance and with continuous descent profile to minimise fuel burn. Represents shortest route for this procedure although possible increased fuel burn for the missed approach procedure to reach new hold over the sea. The MAP is an emergency procedure requiring maximum engine power settings but is typically rarely used.
Commercial airlines	Training costs	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	It is expected that Pilot/Crew Training will be required to enable pilots to flight the new RNAV procedures. It is not proportionate for LLA to assess training costs for individual commercial airlines due to the significant variables involved (e.g. number of pilots requiring training (some may already be competent), variables in pilot competence (i.e. how much training the individual will require), airline policies on training in simulator versus live flight training, variables in aircraft performance, variables in on-board equipment and aircraft controls etc.	It is expected that Pilot/Crew Training will be required to enable pilots to flight the new RNAV procedures. It is not proportionate for LLA to assess training costs for individual commercial airlines due to the significant variables involved (see General Appraisal of PBN/RNAV).	It is expected that Pilot/Crew Training will be required to enable pilots to flight the new RNAV procedures. It is not proportionate for LLA to assess training costs for individual commercial airlines due to the significant variables involved (see General Appraisal of PBN/RNAV).
Commercial airlines	Other costs	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	Other costs to commercial airlines may include updates to Flight Management Systems (FMS), navigation databases and operating procedures, increased pilot time costs versus training etc. It is not proportionate for LLA to assess the 'other costs' to commercial airlines of flying RNAV procedures due to significant variables, some airlines may already be 'PBN ready' whereas others may not.	Other costs to commercial airlines may include updates to Flight Management Systems (FMS), navigation databases and operating procedures, increased pilot time costs versus training etc. It is not proportionate for LLA to assess the 'other costs' to commercial airlines of flying RNAV procedures due to significant variables, some airlines may already be 'PBN ready' whereas others may not.	Other costs to commercial airlines may include updates to Flight Management Systems (FMS), navigation databases and operating procedures, increased pilot time costs versus training etc. It is not proportionate for LLA to assess the 'other costs' to commercial airlines of flying RNAV procedures due to significant variables, some airlines may already be 'PBN ready' whereas others may not.
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Infrastructure costs	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	All options relate to the implementation of PBN and no additional infrastructure is required. The introduction of PBN reduces the reliance on infrastructure, in particular ground based navigation aids are no longer needed. The foundation for PBN is 'area navigation' or RNAV, aircraft arriving and departing LLA using the proposed RNAV procedures will do so based on their performance based navigation capability.	No additional infrastructure required (see High Level Appraisal of PBN/RNAV).	No additional infrastructure required (see High Level Appraisal of PBN/RNAV).
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Operational costs	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	ICAO list Improved Operational Efficiency as a benefit delivered by the introduction of PBN. In general LLA predicts that operational efficiency will improve and there may be potential for a net reduction in operational costs. It is expected that any change in operational costs will be the same regardless of which option is chosen. This will be considered further at Full Options Appraisal stage.	Operational Costs are not predicted to vary by individual option.	Operational Costs are not predicted to vary by individual option.
Airport / Air navigation service provider	Deployment costs	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	Deployment costs are attributable to the introduction of PBN/RNAV procedures rather than the individual PP options themselves. Costs will include ATCO training and competency (based on understanding aircraft performance and ATC procedures relating to RNAV), Airborne documentation and procedures updates (e.g. MATS P2 updates, chart updates, payment to CAI, Procedure Validation and Simulator Costs).	Deployment costs are not predicted to vary by individual option.	Deployment costs are not predicted to vary by individual option.
Safety Assessment	Safety Assessment	Initial Options Appraisal: Qualitative	One benefit of the introduction of PBN is the improvement in safety and in fact CDA declare it as is one of the primary reasons for a state to implement PBN. An individual safety assessment has been carried out for each option but in general, LLA's intention to introduce RNAV approaches delivers a safety benefit to the airport and its users.	Not Significant MAP conflict with Manchester traffic managed through coordination with Manchester ACP development.	Not Significant New proposed hold conflicts with OIOUF 09 transition managed by altitude restrictions on the transition.