

CAP 1616 – Stage 1 ‘DEFINE’ Gateway

Checklist of Requirements

ACP Reference Number	ACP-2025-006
ACP Title	Network Improvements on the UK Dover (DVR) Interface
Change Sponsor	NATS (NERL)
Consultancy (if applicable)	N/A
Approved Procedure Design Organisation (if applicable)	N/A
Gateway Date	27 th June 2025

This checklist has been developed to ensure that Change Sponsors address relevant regulatory requirements when compiling their submissions to the CAA. Completion of this form does not in itself guarantee CAA approval. The CAA will review and assess the actual content of the Change Sponsor's submission, before making a regulatory decision on whether the relevant regulatory requirements have been addressed satisfactorily. Change Sponsors should refer to the contents of CAP 1616 and CAP 1616f for further guidance on the requirements listed below.

Gateways

To help Change Sponsors and affected stakeholders track how a proposal is progressing and to give greater certainty that the Change Sponsor is following the process correctly, the CAA applies a series of three Gateway sign-offs during the seven-stage process. A sign-off provides the CAA's approval that relevant process requirements and guidance have been correctly followed up to that point, and gives the Change Sponsor the CAA's approval to move to the next stage in the process. The purpose is to minimise any work having to be repeated, particularly in getting the supporting documentation for consultation right.

Passing a Gateway does not predetermine the CAA's later final decision on whether to accept the Airspace Change Proposal. Where the CAA is not satisfied that the relevant process requirements have been met, it is likely that the Change Sponsor would need to revisit the stage(s) concerned. It is entirely at the CAA's discretion whether to give approval for the Change Sponsor to move beyond the Gateway.

Stage 1 'DEFINE' Gateway Requirements

Requirement	YES/N/A	Document/Section
1. Have you provided a clear description of the following: • Objectives and intended outcomes • Airspace issues or opportunities • Current airspace design • History of any related previous airspace design changes • Current prevailing air traffic situation • Indication of estimated forecast traffic growth over a period of 10-years from the intended year of implementation • Potential area of impact, including any local urban and geographical features	Partially	Objectives and intended outcomes; airspace issues or opportunities; and potential area of impact: see "Overview of Airspace Change Proposal" chapter. Note, no local urban and geographical features are provided as this is a Level 2 ACP. Current airspace design; and current prevailing air traffic situation: see "Current Day Scenario" chapter. History of any related previous airspace design changes: No previous airspace design changes, so not applicable to include. Indication of estimated forecast traffic growth over a period of 10-years from the intended year of implementation: This is not a requirement of Stage 1. This will be provided in Stage 2 as per paragraph 3.22 of CAP1616f.

Requirement	YES/N/A	Document/Section
2. Have you agreed a timeline detailing target dates for each Gateway, submission of the Formal Airspace Change Proposal and implementation with the CAA?	Yes	See portal document "Agreed Timeline".
3. Have you provided a clear description of the Current-Day Scenario which includes: • airspace design: current structures, routes, flight procedures and flight behaviours/patterns • airspace usage survey and analysis: current airspace users, aircraft types, frequency/number of movements and typical altitudes • overflight and operational diagrams • operational efficiency, complexity, delays and choke points • any potential safety risks • local features below 7,000 feet: designated areas such as Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA), National Parks, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), National Scenic Areas (NSA), designated Quiet Areas etc. • European sites overflown below 3,000 feet: Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and possible SACs, Special Protection Areas (SPA) and potential SPAs, Ramsar sites (wetlands of international importance) and proposed Ramsar sites; and compensatory habitat (areas secured to compensate for damage to SACs, SPAs and Ramsar sites) • environmental impacts including current day noise and local air quality impacts on people, greenhouse gas emissions, tranquillity and biodiversity • local context: planning agreements, conditions and other relevant agreements (for example Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 agreements), noise action plans, Noise Preferential Routes or noise abatement procedures	Partially	Airspace design; airspace usage survey and analysis; overflight and operational diagrams; operational inefficiency; and environmental impacts: see "Current Day Scenario" chapter. Any potential safety risks: No safety risk, so not applicable to include. Note, no local features below 7,000ft, European sites, noise, local air quality impacts, or local context is provided as this is a Level 2 ACP.
4. Have you developed a list of Design Principles to provide a framework against which Design Options can be developed and evaluated?	Yes	See "Final Design Principles" sub-chapter.
5. Have you explained how the Design Principles were developed? (the use of Mandatory, Discretionary and/or Bespoke Design Principles and related stakeholder engagement)	Yes	See "Design Principles and Priorities" chapter.
6. Have you explained how local context and national policy were considered when developing the Design Principles?	Yes	"MDP Policy" provides reference to national

Requirement		YES/N/A	Document/Section
			policy. The only explanation for this being included is that it is mandatory. “DDP Technical (Other Aviation Stakeholders)” relates to the local context. Rationale provided in “Draft Design Principles” sub-chapter.
7.	Have you explained how local circumstances and competing priorities were considered when developing the Design Principles?	Yes	Local circumstances: see rationale for DDP’s being included within “Draft Design Principles” sub-chapter. Competing priorities: see prioritisation in “Draft Design Principles” sub-chapter.
8.	Do the Design Principles cover the criteria that will be used to inform the development of the Design Options, Initial Options Appraisal and Design Principle Evaluation?	Yes	See “Next Steps” chapter.
9.	Have you identified relevant stakeholders and considered their unique requirements?	Yes	See “Stakeholder Engagement” chapter.
10	Have you shared the Current-Day Scenario and Design Principles with relevant stakeholders and given them the opportunity to provide related feedback?	Yes	See “Stakeholder Engagement” chapter and portal document “Stage 1 Engagement”.
11	Have you explained and provided a rationale for the engagement approach/methodology used throughout Stage 1?	Yes	See “Stakeholder Engagement” chapter.
12	Have you provided relevant engagement evidence (materials distributed, meeting/workshop presentations and minutes (where relevant), and copies of related correspondence)	Yes	See “Stakeholder Engagement”, “Current Day Scenario” and “Design Principles and Priorities” chapters as well as stakeholder engagement evidence

Requirement		YES/N/A	Document/Section
			pack (not publicly available).
13	Have you demonstrated what you have heard and how this feedback has or has not informed the development of the Current-Day Scenario and Design Principles?	Yes	See "Current Day Scenario" and "Design Principles and Priorities" chapters.
14	Have you demonstrated if the Airspace Change Proposal is aligned with the Airspace Modernisation Strategy (CAP 1711) and where applicable, the relevant iteration of the airspace change masterplan.	Partially	See portal document "Statement of Need", this ACP aligns with the AMS. A full assessment is not a requirement of Stage 1. An assessment of the AMS (CAP1711) will be carried out in Stage 2 as part of the "MDP Policy" assessment.
15	Have you published the relevant Stage 1 'Define' Gateway documents (redacted as appropriate) on the Airspace Change Portal? If the proposal been given a provisional Level 1 status, has a potentially affected area been uploaded to the Airspace Change Portal? (CAP 2385)	Yes	N/A

Please provide any additional information below which is relevant to the Stage 1 'Define' Gateway submission.

N/A